

Review of: "Breast Cancer Subtypes And Prognosis: Answers To Subgroup Classification Questions, Identifying The Worst Subgroup In Our Single-Center Series"

matteo ghilli

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

some abbotations

line 4: getting closer to understand

pag 2 Isn't the subgroup ER+/PgR+ and HER2 positive t represented in your paper? it is described at pag 5 but not here at pag 2

pag 4 "DFS (190.37±7.19 (176.27-204.46) for TNBC, 218.23±3.68 (211.01-225.44) for NTNBC, p=.739) and OS ... " please specify the unit of measure (months?)

discussion: The HER2-enriched subgroup had a 10-fold increased risk of overall survival compared to the Luminal A subgroup. please correct

comment

study design carried out in an acceptable manner, although it is a retrospective review with all its limitations. considering the range of 20 years, the sample appears rather small and makes the conclusions rather weak.