Qeios

Peer Review

# Review of: "Six Birds Foundations II: Admissibility, Meta-Theory, and the Exact-Six Program"

**Khaled Hamdaoui**[1]

1. Independent researcher

I evaluated this manuscript mainly from the perspectives of scientific rigor, clarity, internal consistency, and research methodology. My review focuses on how clearly the ideas are presented, whether the definitions and assumptions are well explained, whether the arguments are logically developed, and whether the conclusions are supported by the framework presented in the paper. I also considered the overall organization of the manuscript, the transparency of the proposed methodology, the quality of the proofs and explanations, and the connection between the proposed concepts and existing research.

My background allows me to assess the coherence and presentation of the work, as well as the strength of its methodological and theoretical development. However, a complete verification of all formal mathematical results would require specialized expertise in areas such as formal logic, type theory, category theory, and theorem-proving systems. Therefore, my evaluation should be understood as an assessment of the manuscript's scientific quality, clarity, and logical consistency rather than an independent verification of every formal theorem and proof.

## Declarations

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.



doi.org/10.32388/7TFU1W