

Review of: "Flood Prediction Using Artificial Neural Networks: A Case Study in Temerloh, Pahang"

Ghorban Ali Dezvareh

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The idea of the review is good, and the topic is important. However, the reported review requires improvement and revisions.

Your abstract should clearly state the essence of the problem you are addressing, what you did, and what you found and recommended. That will help a prospective reader of the abstract to decide if they wish to read the entire article.

A review paper must provide a comprehensive critical review of recent developments in a specific area or theme. It is expected to have an extensive literature review followed by an in-depth and critical analysis of the state of the art and to identify challenges for future research.

Some parts are reported as summaries of the papers. The authors should do the analysis.

The Discussion and Conclusions sections must clearly establish a strong correlation with the proposed topic.

The conclusion section can be refined better. Please indicate if your objectives were reached, in what way your work is novel, and whether it confirms previous findings. Also, some perspectives generally arise from your investigations and must be indicated here.

English should be checked and improved.