

Review of: "Women in Slum, Risking Their Safety to Access and Usage of Basic Sanitation Facilities-A Literature Review"

Tsegamariam Dula Sherka¹

¹ Wolkite University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Strengths of the article:

Clear focus: The article has a clear and specific focus on the safety of women in slums in relation to accessing and using sanitation facilities. This allows for a targeted and in-depth exploration of the issue.

Comprehensive review: The article presents a literature review, indicating that it draws upon existing research and scholarly work on the topic. This indicates that the information provided is supported by existing evidence and contributes to the existing body of knowledge.

Identification of factors: The article identifies various factors that affect the safety of women in accessing and using sanitation facilities in slums. By highlighting factors such as infrastructure, location, timing, and availability of toilets, the article provides a comprehensive understanding of the issue.

Addressing a critical problem: The article addresses an important and pressing problem - the risk of sexual abuse and violence against women in slums when accessing sanitation facilities. By shedding light on this issue, the article contributes to raising awareness and potentially influencing policy and interventions to improve women's safety.

Weaknesses of the article:

Limited scope: While the article focuses on the safety of women in slums regarding sanitation facilities, it may not provide a broader analysis of the socio-economic, cultural, and systemic factors that contribute to this issue. A more comprehensive analysis could provide a deeper understanding of the root causes and potential solutions.

Lack of primary research: The article is based on a literature review, which means it relies on the findings and analysis of previous studies. While this approach is valuable for synthesizing existing knowledge, the article may lack primary research findings or perspectives from women in slums themselves.

Potential bias: Without knowing the specific sources cited in the article, it is difficult to assess the potential bias or limitations of the research studies reviewed. The article should provide transparency regarding the selection criteria and quality assessment of the sources included in the literature review.

Limited geographical context: The article does not specify the geographical scope of the literature review. Slums and the challenges faced by women in accessing sanitation facilities can vary across different regions and countries. Providing a

clear geographical context would enhance the relevance and applicability of the findings.

Overall, while the article addresses an important issue and provides valuable insights, it would benefit from a broader scope, inclusion of primary research, and transparency in source selection.