

Review of: "Perceptions and Attitudes about COVID-19 Vaccines Regarding Vaccine Intention and Hesitancy of Attendants of a Healthcare Center in Northern Cyprus"

Izzeddin A. Bdair

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Editor, thank you for giving me the chance to review this manuscript.

Dear authors, thank you for your well-written manuscript. However, I have raised some comments

1. Organize the manuscript presentation, overlapping of table 1 over the text, extra spaces, tables presentation.
2. COVID-19, COVID19, the COVID-19, a COVID-19 — Keep it **the COVID-19**
3. English writing and grammar (Q 1 in table 4: example, Would like to learn about vaccines): the manuscript needs overall English editing.
4. First line of page 3: statement needs citation "the lack of knowledge about vaccines in the society and vaccine hesitancy are among the important barriers to sufficient immunization"
5. The introduction has too many paragraphs results in scattered ideas (please, combine the introduction in the 3 – 4 main paragraphs and combine the similar ideas together)
6. The participants age was from 15 years, (could you clarify how did the researchers obtain the informed consent in particular from participants below the legal age).
7. Please, provide more details about the questionnaire reliability (cronbach alpha for example) and validity (content validity for example).
8. Please, provide the date and number of ethical approvals.
9. The main objective was to investigate the vaccine uptake, intention to accept the COVID-19 vaccines and vaccine hesitancy rates: they are not presented in the results.
10. Add percentage sign % to (22.4 of the participants) in discussion section
11. Write full names then abbreviate (FDA).
12. Discussion section is not clear enough, many studied were just mentioned without in deep analysis and synthesis, consider to be concise and direct and rearrange it in more smoothly presentation.
13. Sample size calculation and response rate were not mentioned, please, write them.
14. Highlight the limitations and recommendations.
15. Review References (journals names were sometimes abbreviated and sometime were not/ what does () means in some references).

The decision is Major Revision

