

# Review of: "[Review] Redefining the Concept of e-Government Program. A Review of the Literature"

Javis Ebu Otia<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Ferrara

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall, the area of research covered in this paper is interesting, and the author's promises regarding research gaps are intriguing. However, the paper falls short of fulfilling these promises, as it does not meet the expectations set by the author.

My observations about the paper are as follows:

1. The paper lacks academic rigor, as many statements are made without proper evidence or backing. Criticisms of other papers are weak and vague, relying on generic statements such as **"....there is no evidence of basic e-government...."** These statements need more explanation and support.
2. Another concern is the repetitive use of the word **"Program"** instead of a more appropriate words or synonym(e.g. concept etc. ....e-government can be termed a concept ) when referring to e-government. Additionally, Such pattern and others in the writing style suggest that the author may have used an AI or paraphrasing tool, which undermines the paper's credibility. I would advise the author to rewrite the paper with a more human touch and flow.**(It's My observation I may be wrong, your revised version would tell)**
3. Another significant issue is the **lack of a clear scientific process** in the paper. It reads more like an assignment or term paper rather than a well-structured scientific article. The methodology employed in the research is not evident, which seriously undermines the credibility of the author's assertions.

In summary, while the area of research and the promises made by the author are intriguing, the paper's execution falls short of academic standards. It lacks proper evidence, contains questionable writing patterns, and does not adhere to a scientific approach. I recommend the author to revise the paper thoroughly to address these concerns and produce a more credible and well-written work.