

Review of: "[Commentary] #BetterPoster: The gateway to accessible science"

Colin Pennington¹

1 City University of New York

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

The authors emphasize several points made in literature concerning adapting and modifying learning experiences for individuals with disabilities. Of course, this is a noble effort educators and academics are responsible for and should pursue. While concrete strategies for modification specific to relative disability are not spoken of directly [i.e., audio support for vision impairment, for example], the authors succeed in providing direction to resources, technologies, pedagogies, and strategies to meet this end - specifically BetterPoster. Without having interfaced with "BetterPoster" [therefore I cannot speak about the specific characteristics and value of the product], I believe the authors succeeded in presenting the resource/technology as an option for meeting the needs of a largely ignored audience - individuals with disabilities. Again, I applaud the call-to-action in ensuring academics and educational/health professionals are providing opportunity for all to consume meaningful messages regarding professional practice and/or research.

Qeios ID: 7YOIA4 · https://doi.org/10.32388/7YOIA4