

Review of: "CNN-Based Road Damage Detection"

Dhayavel Dhaya¹

¹ King Khaled University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract length makes it difficult to understand the key contributions of the manuscript. They should first explain the background, motivation, and research gap, followed by their key contributions.

The contribution section needs to be added. I did not see the author explaining the key contributions of the work. Rather, he is just explaining the merits of the work in comparison to the recent state-of-the-art.

Related work is merely a listing of related work, but does not provide a critical discussion.

Recommendation: Related work should be greatly expanded. Special attention should be paid to the difference between related reviews of existing verifiable secret sharing schemes and your work.

The methodology section lacks some technical details regarding the implementation of the proposed schema model. Adding more specifics on algorithms, tools, and datasets used would strengthen the credibility of the research.

The section on experimentation effectively presents the outcomes of the research. However, it would be helpful to include a comparative analysis with existing models or frameworks to showcase the uniqueness and effectiveness of the proposed schema.

The conclusion summarizes the key findings concisely. Consider including future research directions or potential applications of the proposed model to encourage further exploration in the field.

Limits of the proposed system could be explained better.