

# Review of: "The Role of Women's Business Performance in Promoting Sustainable Development"

Temesgen Abebaw Wonda<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Woldia University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Regarding the research topic : The title of the article is not clear is the title Factors affecting Micro and Small-Scale Enterprises in Karat Town of Konso Zone in Southern Ethiopia or The Role of Women's Business Performance in Promoting Sustainable Development.
2. Regarding Quality of Abstract: What are those possible recommendations that are omitted in the abstract? Hence they need to be stated in the abstract. The reset section of the abstract is well stated.
3. Concerning introduction: The actual gap or problem that triggered the researcher to conduct this article is not well discussed. Here, the author need to justify the theoretical and knowledge gap as well.
4. Related literature review: The literature section is very good, but in the empirical review only one previous study is discussed for every variable. So as to foster the credibility it would have been great if more than 2 or 3 previous studies were discussed.
  - i. Concerning methodology section: Excess or unnecessary explanation for ever subtopic in the methodology section need to be avoided. If not it sounds more of a book rather than an article.
  - ii. The authors did not mention the proper source of every sub topic except (Yamane, 1967) in sample size determination sub section. Hence, there is reference citation problem in the methodology section.
  - iii. It would be great to incorporate the questionnaire in the appendix. Since it will help the reader to know how well the variables were measured.
1. Related to data presentation, analysis & interpretation or result section:
  - i. Reliability test for Cronbach's alpha should be for each variable. Here, it is done in once.
  - ii. There is citation problem in the result section, which causes an alarm for plagiarism.
  - iii. Since the author used linear regression model the study finding of the unstandardized coefficients of the regression result shows negative 0.668 so, the relationship is inversely related but in the article it is stated reversely.
2. Regarding discussion part: The discussion part is well discussed but lost credibility since the unstandardized beta value of the constant is negative (+) but interpreted and discussed as if it is positive.
3. Concerning conclusions: I have an ambiguity in the conclusion section as well as in the whole paper. It sounds like the paper is done in two major topics. So make it crystal clear, is the title Factors affecting Micro and Small-Scale Enterprises in Karat Town of Konso Zone in Southern Ethiopia or The Role of Women's Business Performance

in Promoting Sustainable Development so please clearly distinguish the title or the main objective of the study

4. Regarding reference part: *There is citation problem in the body part of the paper but the reference section is not bad.*