

Review of: "Analysing crimes in public spaces of New Delhi through news reports: The need and possibility of integrating Crime Prevention through Environmental Design Principles."

Joshua Onome Imoniana¹

¹ Universidade de São Paulo

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Review Comments

General

1. In your abstract, you did not make it clear that the 100 criminal activity records were from the Newspaper.
2. In the Bibliographical Reference (10) dimensions for CPTED (Natural Surveillance, Access control, Territoriality and maintenance) is not new; what exactly do you add with your study? You probably need additional categories that sprang up from this study.

Introduction

1. Authors should right from onset talk about Public Spaces and not city identity.
2. Is the ground-breaking work of Jane Jacobs known amongst all? I think you need citation here. Unless you assume that it has been covered by Brattinghams's assertion.
3. At the tail end of the introduction, kindly provide the structure of the article.

Background

1. You cite names (Jeffery) as if readers are already acquainted. Kindly say for instance Trump, the former US president.
2. Citing format changed while commenting on Natural Access Control; Do harmonise.

Methodology

1. You did not choose whether it is qualitative or quantitative approach. I think your approach is qualitative analysis with content analysis of the 100 case studies as a strategy from the newspaper. Therefore, you need literatures to support this choice, Say: Imoniana, Joshua O., Janette Brunstein, and Silvia Casa Nova. 2022. The account of teaching qualitative research method in accounting program in Brazil. Educational Research and Reviews 17: 264–72.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4022461>
2. Authors have left Figure 1 to explain itself. Kindly put it in text.

Analysis

I could not perceive the authors categorizing all criminal activities under CPTED. Maybe authors would need to itemise them in this section.

Discussion

You mentioned that the implications of the study are not limited to New Delhi while you have limited or non citations of cases from other countries. Kindly correct this impression.

Conclusion

The author's conclusion looks shy needing to make it more robust.