

# Review of: "Analytical Study and Amelioration of Plastic Pavement Material Quality"

Malik Abdul Karim<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Mechanical Engineering, Petronas Technology University, Malaysia

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper should be revised as follows:

1. Adds addresses the issue of plastic waste management through recycling into pavement materials, contributing to sustainable urban infrastructure.
2. Provides a clear description of the formulations and procedures for mixing plastics (PP and PET) with sand, adding transparency to the methodology.
3. The comparison with existing pavements in Cameroon adds practical value, demonstrating potential for improving pavement quality.
4. Research questions or hypotheses should be clearly stated in the introduction to better structure the study.
5. The literature review could include more recent studies on plastic waste in pavement production, particularly those covering other polymers or geographical contexts.
6. Figures (e.g., 6, 7, and 8) need clearer labels, more descriptive captions, and inclusion of units for better clarity.
7. More detailed reasoning should be provided for the selection of plastic-to-sand ratios, especially for combined formulations like 10% PP + 20% PET.
8. The discussion of results could be expanded to include environmental benefits, long-term durability, and limitations of plastic pavements.
9. The sustainability benefits, such as life cycle assessment (LCA), energy savings, or reduced carbon footprint, should be discussed more thoroughly.
10. The conclusion should better summarize the study's contributions and offer clearer recommendations for future research.

11. The study should briefly address potential environmental or safety concerns, such as microplastic generation or harmful substances during degradation.
12. A section on future research directions should be included, suggesting testing pavements in different climates or for different load-bearing capacities.
13. The writing flow, especially in the results and discussion sections, can be improved by smoother transitions between paragraphs for better readability.