

Review of: "A Study of the Urban Nightlife of Delhi and its Impact on Safety"

Fadly Usman¹

¹ Universitas Brawijaya

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract is not systematic. It is suggested to arrange it in the following order: Background, methods used, results achieved and concluding remarks.

The introduction should contain the detailed background, then include the challenges of the previous literature, motivation of the work, objectives of the papers, and contributions. The background and challenges should be supported by proper citations.

Discuss the related work in such a manner that it should highlight the method, results, advantages, and limitations. Add 10-15 more literatures and discuss it properly. The final review analysis should be added at the end of this section. It will improve the readability of the paper. Add papers and manuscripts from 2021-2023 also.

Authors should add the description of the technical approaches used in the study, such as safety parameter matrix. Details on data sources, data collection methods, and tools or software (maybe) used for calculating the results should be in detail. How authors only choose surveillance, social inclusion, diverse activity, permeability, visibility and territoriality as parameters. Many parameters for urban space for nightlife activities.

Detailed information about the study area is needed, particularly Inda Gate, Matia Mahal Jama Masjid, Connaught Place and Nehru Place. Explain more detail about 4 places and why only choose them, such as research result before in many journals, textbooks, website, criminal histories in those places and other resources.

Add the study limitations. Discussion and limitations are completely vague in the current manuscript.

Discussion should include (summarize your key findings, give your interpretations, discuss the implications, acknowledge the limitations, state your recommendations and comparative analysis).

Discussion section should contain the result impact, comparative study analysis and the overall analysis of the result.

Overall, this paper is interesting but the methods and results are not convincing. There is the need for the proper explanation and justification of the method used. Different cases should be considered in the results with proper comparison to prove it. Better if compare it in a table of comparison between others.

