

Review of: "The Role of Green Legacy in Promoting Sustainable Development and Combating Climate Change"

Kueshi Sémanou Dahan¹

¹ University for Development Studies

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for this interesting research, as it fits in with the objectives of the SDGs (Climate Action), which are very important to promote. However, enough needs to be done to make it clearer so that it can be published: especially the methodology and the results and discussion sections.

Abstract: your abstract is too long, you will need to review the background of the abstract, which is intended to generate a recommendation (get people to invest in this research topic).

Refocus on the overall and specific objectives and the key results found.

Restructure your summary around the following points: Background - objectives (general or specific) - Results - Conclusion/Recommendations

Foreword: source of resources is not correct, i.e. "renewable resources" (Preferable).

Wouldn't it be good to present a land use map of Ethiopia showing the major LULCs so that readers have an idea of how they are distributed across the country?

Erratic Rainfall and Climate Variability in Ethiopia: ???? is it a title?

Source: Authors' Design from Literature Review, 2023 (precise the authors)

Conceptual Framework should be in the methodology and not outside it

The reason for selecting the Study area (belongs in the justification of the topic and not in the methodology)

What is the difference between Research Design and Research approach? I think that the research design is found in your research approach (I suggest that you present a summary of the methodological approach in a Flow chart alongside this presentation to make your method more comprehensive).

I also think that the methodology should already show the target groups (key players) to be surveyed (socio-professional and socio-cultural) who have a link with the subject. These should be structured in the methodology so that you know what their effects will be even before you get to the results (in short, rethink how to make the methodology more attractive to a reader with no idea of the subject). Your results should be better discussed, with more authors and more arguments as it is a national programme, I think people would have given you enough information, on the difficulties, the benefits

etc..

Conclusion and Recommendations should be reduced (too long for an article: be more precise according to the research objectives), and Direction for future research (Express this as an orientation and recommendation: very brief).

Please reread the entire document, checking the language level to ensure a good understanding of certain parts of the manuscript.