

## Review of: "The antithetical relationship of Entrepreneurship and Corruption on Radicalization among the Moroccan Youths: An Empirical study"

## Laurice Alexandre<sup>1</sup>

1 Université René Descartes (Paris V)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

thank you for this interesting topic. it is very original and well written. however, I recommend the authors to address the differents weaknesses I have found in the paper.

Page 1) the abstract should present the reserach question, the methodology and the results on the academic and managerial level.

page3) the rearch question is absent. anyway, the rationale should be better explained and justified. the author should focus on the added value of the paper.

page3) the literature review should be organised by different subtitles .

page4) the references are not as recent as announced

page 4, title 3 you mention conceptual overview but the content is not. the first part is not much useful to the paper and doesn't bring any added value. I recommend to delete this part.

page6) do you have any figures from the doing business report published by The World bank? it could be useful to find this information and include it in your paper in order to justify the role of the moroccon government in facilitating business in the country.

page 7) more details are needed on the choice of the methodology and the characteristics of the respondents.

page9) before presenting the results, you should present the analysis method and justify their choice, you should also set some hypothesis and reserach questions...

page 13) it would be interesting to know in which sector do they like to create a venture.

page14) the discussion should be more about entrepreneurship

to conclude, the idea is very original, but in my opinion, the article needs to be rewritten and oriented more towards entrepreneurship. the authors should set some hypothesis and build a research design in order to help the reader understand the rationale of the paper. the literature should be more recent also !! the methodology is very weak also and



the discussion needs to be enriched with the added value of the article as much on the academic level than the managerial level, there is a potential here but it still needs some work, good luck!