

Review of: "A Survey: Looking for the best possible way of modern engagement with Traditional Indian knowledge"

Harjeet Singh¹

¹ DAV College Chandigarh

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Debajyoti Gangopadhyay's survey titled "A Survey: Looking for the Best Possible Way of Modern Engagement with Traditional Indian Knowledge" aims to explore the challenges and possibilities of integrating traditional Indian knowledge with modern understanding. While the topic itself is intriguing and relevant, the article falls short in providing a clear and concise analysis. The writing style is dense and convoluted, making it difficult to follow the author's arguments and ideas and its underlying arguments suffer from several critical flaws and limitations.

1. The survey lacks a clear structure and fails to provide a concise overview of the research questions, objectives, and methodologies employed. As a result, it becomes challenging for the reader to understand the purpose and direction of the survey. The absence of a well-defined framework undermines the credibility and effectiveness of the survey's findings.
2. The survey extensively dwells on the colonial period's impact on Indian philosophy and the challenges of integrating traditional knowledge with Western understanding. While historical context is essential, the author's preoccupation with colonialism overshadows other crucial factors shaping the engagement with traditional Indian knowledge. This biased perspective limits the survey's scope and fails to capture a comprehensive understanding of the subject.
3. The survey lacks a systematic and rigorous methodology for assessing the difference in epistemic status between traditional and modern knowledge. The author acknowledges the absence of comparative norms but does not propose a concrete approach to address this issue. Without a robust methodology, the survey's findings become subjective and open to interpretation, undermining their validity and reliability.
4. The survey primarily focuses on the intersection of philosophy, science, and traditional Indian knowledge. However, it overlooks the contributions and perspectives from other disciplines, such as anthropology, sociology, and linguistics, which are equally important in understanding the complexities of traditional knowledge systems. By neglecting these disciplines, the survey fails to provide a holistic analysis of the subject matter.
5. The survey appears to be disconnected from current debates and developments in the field of traditional Indian knowledge. It does not engage with recent scholarship or incorporate diverse perspectives, leading to a narrow and outdated understanding of the subject. A critical survey should aim to synthesize contemporary research and contribute to ongoing discussions, which this survey fails to accomplish.

So, Debajyoti Gangopadhyay's survey on the engagement of traditional Indian knowledge with modern understanding

lacks clarity, structure, and methodological rigor. It exhibits a biased focus on the colonial period and overlooks the contributions of other disciplines. Furthermore, the survey fails to engage with contemporary debates and developments, limiting its relevance and impact. To advance the discourse on this topic, future research should address these limitations and adopt a more comprehensive and interdisciplinary approach.