

Review of: "From Necro-Politics to Necro-Ecology: framing the current climate environmental politics in the Americas"

Emilie Dupuits¹

¹ Universidad San Francisco de Quito

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Interesting contribution to the reflection around the novel concept of "necro-ecology" in the era of Anthropocene and the deadly impacts of climate change in the context of the Americas. Following are some recommendations to improve the paper:

Review the abstract, some grammatical errors remain: "political ecology and critical geography", "Governments' climate"

Introduction: "Butler 2018" without a dot before Butler, "it is done deliberately"... In general, the paper needs English proof-reading to improve its quality and style.

What is DARA? Include the full acronym. You could also mention more recent data from IPCC reports on climate change impacts.

Why taking the Americas as a regional focus for your analysis? Justify in the introduction. You could also mention the diversity of vulnerabilities and impacts across the region, it is not the same to talk about CC impacts in the USA or in Haiti... I would recommend you include from the introduction one or two concrete examples of deaths due CC in the Americas, maybe a recent key event or natural disaster related to CC.

Part 1.2. Political ecology is not really a method, it is rather a theoretical field.

Correct "Tom Perreault".

Include the full acronym of CLACSO and CLAES.

Be careful about differentiating extractivism from neo-extractivism, the last one being a model of natural resources exploitation to finance social development.

You don't analyze in much details how climate inaction can be a form of necro-ecological responsibility. You mention that in the research questions in the introduction as well as in the conclusions, could you include some reflections and examples in the analysis?