

Review of: "Palm Oil Expansion and Subnational Food Security"

J. N. Andrianaivoarimanga¹

¹ Institut Supérieur de la Communication, des Affaires et du Management

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article has good interpretation of results, good knowledge of food security and good explanation of provincial selection criteria. Independent and dependent variables are presented separately.

Explanations of variables need to be more detailed

It is preferable to increase the number of keywords, which is only 04 in the current document.

To increase the credibility of the article, sources of information should be included, including secondary data, figures, findings, and context.

It seems that the results of the study are already announced more than once in the introduction. For example: "The rapid expansion of oil palm cultivation has had direct and unintended consequences for food security". This is the purpose of the paper, which should be clear by the end.

Clearly link the problems of chronic food insecurity to the expansion of palm cultivation.

The relationship between the description of agricultural techniques and the issue of food security is not obvious. The relevance of this paragraph to the topic needs to be reconsidered.

Similarly, the relationship between deforestation and food security needs to be reviewed or even emphasized.

The state of the art can be improved by adding bibliographic references.

The methodology should indicate the number of provinces covered by the study.

Explain the usefulness of the chosen methods in the methodology, not in the results section, to establish credibility and ensure the reader's understanding.

Explain the meaning of each variable.

The variable "cell phone ownership" is not clearly listed among the variables, but it appears in the results. Make sure that the model is consistent with the theoretical framework of the study.

The conclusion seems to be the real discussion, a good analysis of the interpretations of the results. It's best to structure the discussion and highlight the knowledge contributions of the article.

It's better to write in the passive form and remove "the author", "we" and replace them with "the work, the research".