

Review of: "Technical and Financial Viability of a 1 MW CSP Power Plant with Organic Rankine Module: Case Study for a Northeastern Brazilian City"

Safa Skouri¹

¹ Research and Technology Center of Energy

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Initially, I found the work titled "Technical and Financial Viability of a 1 MW CSP Power Plant with Organic Rankine Module: Case Study for a Northeastern Brazilian City" interesting, as it combines technical and economic aspects effectively. However, I observed a lack of experimental analysis. Here are my suggestions for improvement :

1. Ensure that the nomenclature is alphabetically organized and provides more detailed explanations related to the equations presented in the text.
2. Include more relevant references and those contextual to the work.
3. Review all nominations; for example, clarify what "LUZ LS-2" and "SEGS" represent.
4. Capitalize "10 am" in Section 2.2.
5. Specify what " θ_z " represents in the text.
6. Include an explanation of the constant "K" for calculating the IAM, as it is only noted in the nomenclature.
7. Define the meanings of "W" and "Weff" in the nomenclature for clarity.
8. Address the absence of information regarding "ColF rac" in Table 2, which discusses typical optical parameters and correction values for the solar field and HCE efficiencies.
9. Connect the numerical analysis to relevant numerical simulations developed in similar works, and follow it with a comparative study to validate the current work.
10. Consolidate Subsections from 2.2.1 to Section 2.2 into a single Section 2.2.
11. Enhance the explanation of Section 2.4, considering it as the core of the work. Consider adding a table describing different adapted configurations.
12. Expand on the results in the paper, especially in terms of system efficiency (Figure 5). Place economic results at the end of the paper for a comprehensive conclusion.