

# Review of: "Factors Associated With Hospitalization Outcomes for Cases of Anemia in Pregnancy at a Regional Level in Burkina Faso"

Fentaw Girmaw<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Woldia University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## Reviewer comments

The sample size is good, and the topography is also good, but the following issues should be addressed to strengthen your findings.

1. "The hospitalization result was favorable if it was satisfactory for both the mother and the pregnancy, and unfavorable in the other cases." This should be put in the operational definition section, not in the method section.
2. Only two key words, please see and add more.
3. Revise the background. There are no identified gaps from previous findings that make you conduct this study.
4. In the variables section, you mention "categorized into adolescent girls 14-19 years, young adults 20-34 years, and adults 35 years and older"—where did you get this classification? What is the basis of this classification?
5. Similarly, marital status (single or in union)—is this the only marital status?
6. What does it mean to "the other cases"? This needs an operational definition.
7. What are the limitations of your study? Please specify.
8. How did you manage the incomplete charts (hospital records)?