

Review of: "Food and Feeding of Atlantic Mudskipper Periophthalmus Barbarus in Ogbo-Okolo Mangrove Forest of Santa Barbara River, Bayelsa State Niger Delta, Nigeria"

Benjamin Gonzales

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. The result in the Abstract should be presented in decreasing order of percentage consumed by the fish. Highest % to lowest %.
- 2. The terms Phylogeny, DNA barcoding, Population structure, and Intraspecific diversity as Keywords should be reconsidered since they are not the subject matter of the study.
- 3. The introduction, paragraphs 1, first part of 2, and 3 need reference (citations) for the statements used. Don't cite the whole paragraph.
- 4. Third line of Materials and Methods delete the words see and below (see Figure 1 below).
- 5. A sketch of the gear (trap) used to catch mudskippers must be provided.
- 6. All scientific names must be in italic form.
- 7. Review/edit grammar.
- 8. Table 1 please specify the statement: Number of species (fish individuals?) in which food items occurred?
- 9. Page 8, 1st paragraph, 3rd line. Clarify what you mean by fish and bones? Part of a fish with bones?
- 10. Table 2 Specify/clarify Size Range of what? Fish or food? Provide a complete statement.
- 11. Discussion compare your results to similar studies in other areas and in the same area.
- 12. Specify in the discussions and conclusions that your data and results only represent the rainy season, 2 months' data.
- 13. Anchor/base your recommendations only on the results and conclusions of the study.
- 14. This is a preliminary review of the study. With the current condition, this paper is not publishable yet. It needs a second round of review.
- 15. Good Luck!