

Review of: "Characterization of Workplace Violence in Healthcare Workers at an Emergency Room in Bogotá, Colombia"

Maria Baeza¹

¹ University of Miami

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear authors,

I have carefully reviewed your manuscript titled "Characterization of Workplace Violence in Healthcare Workers at an Emergency Room in Bogotá, Colombia" and believe that it has potential for publication. However, there are significant revisions that need to be made.

Firstly, the structure of the paper should adhere to scientific standards in order to enhance the reader's understanding. I suggest removing the search strategy section as it does not align with the aims of the study.

Secondly, I have observed several errors in grammar, terminology, and even in the tables. Therefore, we strongly recommend that you have the manuscript reviewed by a native English speaker or an editor proficient in the language.

Thirdly, there are some key aspects that need clarification in the Methods section. How was the sample obtained? Was the study approved by an Institutional Review Board (IRB) or an ethics committee? Additionally, more evidence is required regarding the reliability and validity of the questionnaire employed.

Furthermore, I have noticed that certain statements in the background section lack proper citations.

Lastly, I have two major concerns regarding the study:

- a. Although the problem is well defined, it remains unclear how this study contributes to the existing literature.
- b. The designation of the study as cross-sectional does not align with the analytical strategy employed or the results obtained. The paper appears to be more descriptive in nature rather than a true cross-sectional study. I did not find any analysis exploring associations between two or more cross-sectional factors. It may be beneficial to include an additional aim that examines the associations between variables and incorporates regression analysis. This adjustment would transform your study into a cross-sectional design and potentially enhance the quality of evidence. However, I must emphasize that the small sample size remains a significant issue.

I hope you find these comments helpful in revising your manuscript. We look forward to reviewing the revised version.

