

## Review of: "Beyond Boundaries: Exploring Livability in Diverse CPTED-Enhanced Neighbourhoods of Bengaluru"

## Soumi Muhuri<sup>1</sup>

1 National Institute of Technology, Rourkela

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors should review recent articles on CPTED and its relevance on livability considering the advancement of technology (example cctv, artificial intelligence etc.).

In the methodological section the number of people interviewed, who are they (residents, experts) etc. are not discussed. Further how are they identified is not clear. Although, a mixed method technique is mentioned, where the observation method and qualitative method are used, are not very clear.

If the graph in the result section represents number of people (I presume) then the study results become very weak. Ideally for small sample qualitative research quotes the statements of the respondents and interpret the results from there. I also did not find any referred work in discussion mentioning in for or against of the research findings.

The contribution of the research is also not very clear.

Qeios ID: 8MJ7VS · https://doi.org/10.32388/8MJ7VS