Commentary

The study of Safety of Inpatient Health Care needs to include adverse event rates from venous and urinary catheters

Paul Froom^{1,2}, Zvi Shimoni³

1. School of Public Health, Tel Aviv University, Israel; 2. Clinical Utility Department, Laniado Hospital, Netanya, Israel; 3. The Adelson School Of Medicine, Ariel University, Ariel, Israel

It is important to study adverse events in hospitalized patients in order to prevent them. The objective of this perspective is to emphasize the importance of including adverse events from the use of venous and urinary catheters that require daily monitoring.

Corresponding authors: Paul Froom, froomp@gmail.com; Zvi Shimoni, zshimoni@laniado.org.il

Recently, in a random sample of 2809 admissions Bates DW et al identified 23.6% of hospitalized patients with at least one adverse event defined as "unintended physical injury resulting from or contributed to by medical care that requires additional monitoring, treatment, or hospitalization, or that results in death [1]." However, the frequency of such events was underestimated because it included only 3.1% of patients with an intravenous infiltrate and no patients with a side effect of urinary catheter insertion outside of perhaps a catheter associated urinary tract infection.

These events require the inclusion of daily nursing reports that evaluate possible side effects from catheters. One review found that the rate of phlebitis was around 8% independent of the dressing used or securing methods $\frac{[2]}{}$ and overall adverse event rates after venous catheterization have been reported to be 30% or more $\frac{[3]}{}$. Also, it is important to monitor urinary catheters in order to limit their use and identify complications. In 199 United States hospitals in 2015, 18.7% of surveyed hospitalized patients had a urethral catheter in place $\frac{[4]}{}$ that besides being responsible for nosocomial infections, as many as 7.0% of hospitalized patients are discharged without removing the catheter, with subsequent restrictions in daily living, and need to replace catheters $\frac{[5]}{}$. Furthermore, about 20% of patients report urgency, burning, difficulty starting or ending the stream after catheter removal $\frac{[5]}{}$. Infrequent complications

comprise urethral strictures or erosions in up to 3%, gross hematuria in 4.7%, and accidental removal in

 $4.0\% \frac{[6]}{}$. It is important to monitor the safety of urinary and venous catheters to limit their use, and

assure the early identification of adverse events such as phlebitis. It is unclear if that is done in

Massachusetts and other regional hospital systems [1].

References

1. a. bates DW, Levine DM, Salmasian H, Syrowatka A, Shahian DM, Lipsitz S et al. The Safety of Inpatient He

alth Care. N Engl J Med. 2023 Jan 12;388(2):142-153. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsa2206117. PMID: 36630622

2. \triangle Marsh N, Webster J, Mihala G, Rickard CM. Devices and dressings to secure peripheral venous catheters to

prevent complications. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015 Jun 12; (6):CD011070. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD011

070.pub2. PMID: 26068958.

3. AShimoni Z, Houdhoud N, Isaacs Y, Froom P. Observational study of peripheral intravenous catheter outcom

es in an internal medicine department. Intern Med J. 2022 Nov 8. doi: 10.1111/imj.15963. Epub ahead of print.

PMID: 36346286.

4. \triangle Maqill SS, O'Leary E, Janelle SJ, Thompson DL, Dumyati G, Nadle J, et al. Emerging Infections Program Hos

pital Prevalence Survey Team. Changes in Prevalence of Health Care-Associated Infections in U.S. Hospitals.

N Engl J Med. 2018 Nov 1;379(18):1732-1744. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1801550. PMID: 30380384; PMCID: PMC797

8499.

5. ^{a, <u>b</u>Saint S, Trautner BW, Fowler KE, Colozzi J, Ratz D, Lescinskas E et al. A Multicenter Study of Patient-Rep}

orted Infectious and Noninfectious Complications Associated With Indwelling Urethral Catheters. JAMA Int

ern Med. 2018 Aug 1;178(8):1078-1085.

6. \triangle Hollingsworth JM, Rogers MA, Krein SL, et al. Determining the noninfectious complications of indwelling

urethral catheters: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med. 2013;159:401-410.

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.