

Review of: "Analysing the conglomeration of various urban pockets through the lens of environmental design for crime prevention: A case of Kolkata"

Nasr Eslami Mojaveri¹

¹ University of Tehran

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Review of manuscript "Analysing the conglomeration of various urban pockets through the lens of environmental design for crime prevention: A case of Kolkata"

The context in which this study is being conducted presents significant potential and a comprehensive survey has been undertaken. However, to improve the methodological soundness and clarity of the article, certain modifications are essential.

It is imperative to elucidate the primary objective of the article, whether it encompasses an assessment of the current situation, an analysis of features, or the proposition of design measures.

At present, the research predominantly centers on capturing users' perceptions with respect to the selected variables and features, fundamentally documenting their outlooks and perspectives.

By utilizing various methodologies to collect data across diverse parameters, this research can be elevated to an analytical level. The study aims to comprehensively examine and compare these data points in the context of specific circumstances, allowing for a deeper understanding and analysis of the subject matter. Moreover, by delving into the discussion of each design measure, particularly in comparison with measures implemented in other countries, this research has the potential to suggest specific design measures tailored to the unique context being studied. Both aspects, the analysis of features and the proposal of design measures, can indeed be subjects of further studies in the future. However, at present, the research primarily focuses on capturing and recording users' perceptions, as highlighted in certain instances of the article.

To begin with, it is essential to clarify the research and structure for this case. In order to improve the clarity and precision of the research paper, it is crucial to streamline the content by eliminating superfluous concept descriptions, references, and viewpoints that do not directly align with the primary objective of the study. This will help to maintain a concise and coherent narrative, ensuring that the research objectives and findings are effectively communicated to readers. Additional, explanations can be included as future study proposals and contextual discussions of findings, along with the inclusion of qualitative and specialist-oriented analyses. These views can improve the overall depth and richness of the article, providing valuable insights and recommendations for further research and practical applications. Nevertheless, it is

important to maintain a balance between providing comprehensive information and maintaining the clarity and focus of the research paper.

Maintaining a clear focus on the main objective of the research throughout the introduction, literature review, and discussion sections is of utmost importance. It is crucial to avoid scattered discussions and ensure that all content directly supports and aligns with the primary research goal.

In addition to maintaining focus and coherence around the research objective, it is imperative to enhance the readability and clarity of the article. To provide a more comprehensive understanding of the research methodology, it is preferable to elaborate on the questionnaire design, the relationship between the questions and variables, and the selection of the sample size, which in this case is 300. If the study employed a probability sampling method, it would be essential to mention the relationship between sample size and the target population, as well as how it was calculated. If the study did not involve probability sampling, it should be clearly stated and emphasized. You may also include the Cronbach's alpha coefficient calculation.

While it is permissible to briefly touch upon the research methodology in the results section when discussing the data analysis process, it is imperative to furnish a comprehensive overview of the methodology in an appropriate section earlier in the paper. Currently, the majority of method introductions are situated within the results section. The research methods section can be divided into two subheadings: "Research Methodology" and "Selection of Contexts." This division aids in better comprehension.

In addition to the research methodology, it is essential to clarify the results and findings to effectively communicate the study's outcomes. To achieve this, it is recommended to present the findings from part to whole. This approach allows for a systematic breakdown of the latent variables/factors and their corresponding questions, followed by a comprehensive analysis and interpretation of each variable. The results section should conclude with a thorough analysis of the main variables, discussing their implications and providing a contextual and qualitative view and explanation. It is advisable to use suitable and effective graphics, such as well-designed charts, diagrams, or visualizations, to represent the data. Depending on the information being conveyed, both separate and collective graphics should be used to present the findings, which enables a comprehensive understanding of the study's outcomes.

In the current article, some contextual discussions are included in the conclusion section. However, not all of them are based on research data and findings. For instance, the stress placed on "Dalan", which is subsequently mentioned as having forfeited its crucial function, lacks clarity and is not accentuated in such a manner within the main body itself. It is crucial to ensure that the contextual discussions in the conclusion section are firmly grounded in the research data and findings. This is necessary to maintain the integrity and validity of the study. Although broader contextual insights can be included, they must be supported by the empirical evidence and analysis presented in the results section.

The conclusion section of the manuscript should strive to achieve a balance between presenting a broader perspective and remaining grounded in the data and findings. While it is important to explore a wider horizon, it is crucial to ensure that the conclusions drawn are derived from the study's methodology and supported by the collected data. The current

version of the conclusion fails to adequately address the significant result regarding the use of wall art and colors, which is only briefly mentioned in the introduction and lacks detailed analysis in the main text.

Therefore, I propose the following enhancements to the manuscript:

- Firstly, ensure that the abstract clearly articulates the main purpose of the study and provides a concise overview of the research methodology and findings. This will aid readers in comprehending the focus and relevance of the article from the outset.
- In the introduction, it is highly recommended to go beyond just highlighting the importance of addressing the dearth of Indigenous laws and instead, clearly articulate the objectives and scope of the article. This will enable readers to have a seamless understanding of the article and reduce any confusion. Additionally, providing a summary of the overall structure of the article within the introduction can further enhance the coherence of the article.
- Structure the literature review in a methodical manner to proficiently present the existing literature. Ensure that each section of the literature review is coherent with the content of the article and its findings. For instance, the aspect concerning the meaning of “crime” and “offence” is not comprehensible in this context. Furthermore, compare and discuss the sources that are analogous to the discoveries of the current study. Ultimately, emphasize the significance of the current article within the prevailing literature at the conclusion of the literature review section. It is advisable to clearly rationalize the selected evaluation framework or approach in the literature review. This will enable you to concentrate on providing pertinent details and explanations in the methodology section. Additionally, it is crucial to corroborate your choice by introducing alternative approaches and referencing similar studies or resources that validate the chosen approach.
- As previously mentioned, it is imperative to thoroughly review and revise the methodology section. Furthermore, it is essential to clearly articulate the primary research question, as well as any ancillary research questions, within the methodology section. This approach facilitates easy referencing of these inquiries in the findings and conclusion sections, thereby ensuring a seamless and coherent narrative throughout the manuscript.
- To enhance the lucidity of the results and their correlation with the principal research inquiry, it is imperative to furnish a more intricate and methodical demonstration. The findings ought to directly attend to the main query of the article and offer straightforward responses or perceptions. Moreover, as it was recommended to embrace a structured approach, transitioning from latent variables to main variables and a comprehensive conclusion. The findings necessitate interpretation and analysis, which is likely the most significant element of the undertaking, given your familiarity with the context, but they must be methodical and documented. The findings and discussion segments may be combined or separate, but they must remain distinct.
- In conclusion, it is recommended that you thoroughly review the topic, main question, article kit, and findings. While you have already analyzed some findings, it is necessary to further refine and articulate the actual findings of the article which are founded on the data and outcomes. Additionally, it is important to present more general perspectives and suggestions for future research.

Furthermore, it is suggested that if the data is available, the results of the three contexts should be examined separately and comparatively. Otherwise, the use of three types of context may not be comprehensible and may add to the variety of

questioners. Lastly, some clarifications in the conclusion state discrepancies in tissues that were not detected in your findings and analysis of these variations.

Implementing the recommended modifications and enhancements will undoubtedly augment the manuscript's lucidity, cohesiveness, and general quality, culminating in a more compelling display of the research findings and a more influential contribution to the field. Wishing you the best of luck in this and any future research endeavors.