

# Review of: "Predicting Mobile Money Transaction Fraud using Machine Learning Algorithms"

Zaleha Othman<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universiti Utara Malaysia

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Author

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review your manuscript. You have presented an interesting topic and it is enjoyable to read the findings.

To improve the manuscript further, I would like to make several suggestions. Please find below my views and suggestions

1. Title: The title is clear. You have successfully expressed the topic aligned with the text. Cyber fraud is on the rise, thus it is about time for authors to contribute to the field particular using advance tech such as data driven method of detecting fraud (machine learning and predictive mobile money transactions).
2. Abstract: The abstract is concise and clear. The abstract contains enough information to stand alone.
3. Introduction: It is wonderful to read this part as you have attracted reader to the topic. The author captured the reader's attention. There is theoretical support on the topic. This section is well written and organized.
4. Literature Review: The focused of this section is to illustrates the gap existed in the field. Thus, 'what have been done and what has not been done' is the key in synthesizing the past studies. This is visible. The author provides past studies and synthesized the findings to support why he or she is doing this research, giving view to the reader how the research could extend the theory or exploring new thing to add value to the field of knowledge.
5. Methods: it is important to describe how the process of data gathered and analysis was conducted. The author has successfully elaborates detailed information describing the process of methodology. The deliberation of each section is clear and detailed.
6. Discussion: This is the part where you as author could show your creativity to the reader. Making sense of your data it important. This is visible. To improve further perhaps the author could add relevant theory to support the findings.
7. Finally, sectin 5.1, perhaps the author could include a section on implication to practice and society. The author has provided the information in the narration (discussion and conclusion) but perhaps to separate it so that the reader could clearly see the importance of the implications of this study to the society and practice.
8. I hope the above helps you to improvise the manuscript and wish you all the best. As I have mentioned the topic contributes to the field however the organization of your thought and editing and proofreading could be of significant improvement to your work.

