

Peer Review

# Review of: "Comparison of Clinical and Radiological Diagnosis with Autopsy Findings in Fatal Traffic Accident Cases"

Alagarasan Naveen<sup>1</sup>

1. FORENSIC MEDICINE & TOXICOLOGY, Jawaharlal Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education and Research, Puducherry, India

The manuscript fulfills all the essential criteria for scientific literature. The study's objectives were well-defined and aligned with the topic's relevance. Appropriate methodologies, including radiological testing and autopsies for all cases, were effectively employed, and the sample size was adequate. Nevertheless, a larger number of investigated cases could further enhance the study's significance.

The discussion provided a compelling and thought-provoking analysis, shedding light on key aspects of the study. The findings were noteworthy, offering a practical understanding of the subject matter; however, the autopsy remains the definitive gold standard, offering irreplaceable insights into forensic investigations.

To further improve the manuscript, it is recommended to explicitly address the retrospective nature of the study within the methodology section. Additionally, including a limitations section to acknowledge constraints such as the relatively small sample size and reliance on retrospective data would enhance the study's transparency and scientific rigor.

## Declarations

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.