

# Review of: "Measuring researchers' success more fairly: going beyond the H-index"

Jin Shi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Nanjing University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The H-index plays an important role in evaluating the scientific achievements of researchers, but the H-index does not consider the actual contribution of each author, the number of authors, the overall scientific achievements, and the quality of citations etc. In this paper, the author consider these factors and propose a more comprehensive algorithm than the H-index to evaluate the scientific achievements of researchers, and use an article as an example to introduce the method to evaluate the authors.

However, the method is not validated in the paper using large-scale data. In other words, through this article, I can only know that the author propose a new more comprehensive evaluation algorithm that, logically, may indeed be fairer than the H-index. But since there is no empirical study using data and comparison with other algorithms, it is not certain that the algorithm is more accurate or fairer than the h-index.