

Review of: "Evolution and Challenges of DDR: A Policy Review Through the Prism of Colombia's Three-Generational Experience"

Juan C. Marcillo-Delgado¹

¹ Universidad Politécnica de Catalunya

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic the author attempts to address is highly relevant to the contemporary context. However, the way the article has been approached presents several gaps, with the most significant being the absence of a study methodology. In this context, it would be interesting for the author to develop a Materials and Methods section where questions such as: What is the unit of analysis? What policy documents is the review based on? How was all the information processed? Why were these text processing techniques chosen? These are some questions that would be interesting to address in the Materials and Methods section.

Given that the focus is on Colombia, I will focus on Section 3:

It is observed that the results on Colombia (2 pages) are disproportionate in relation to the review of literature on global conflicts (10 pages). The results presented in sections 3.2 to 3.4 resemble more of a discussion than a policy review. The author has not conducted a review of peace negotiation processes but simply detailed their dates without delving into the nuances of each process. Since the study's objective is to "analyze the policies that underpin DDR efforts, their evolution, and provide critical insights into the future of DDR," at least the most important components of each Colombian policy should be highlighted in a table.

Additionally, it is not clear on what basis the author makes assertions in some sections (e.g., Section 3.2). Since the methodology is not clear, it is unknown how these assertions were arrived at.

Given that 10 out of 20 pages of the study focus on analyzing the global conflict, it would be advisable for the author to change the document title to highlight both global DDR and DDR in Colombia to take advantage of this valuable information for the benefit of the article.

Additionally, the general and specific objectives of the study are not clear in the introduction.

Minor considerations:

In the introductory section, when mentioning the three key sections, "This policy review consists of three key sections. The first section comprehensively analyzes DDR procedures and...", it was difficult to find the third key section. For this reason, I suggest two possible approaches for greater clarity in presenting the key sections:

- a) Describe the three key sections consecutively along with a brief explanation of their content. Subsequently, in the subsequent paragraphs, each of these key sections can be developed in more detail.
- b) Detail each key section one by one, providing a complete description of each along with its respective content. This will allow the reader to clearly understand the structure and focus of the analysis from the beginning.

Additionally, it is important to ensure that tables in the scientific article are clear, concise, and present information in an organized and easily understandable manner for the reader. The information in Table 2 is unbalanced, especially column seven, "DDR processes." I recommend exploring other visualization alternatives for this column, such as a Gantt chart, which would highlight and take advantage of a better interpretation of this information.

Some paragraphs, such as the second paragraph of Section 3.2 or the last paragraph of Section 3.3, are difficult to read, which hinders the understanding of many sections of the document. Therefore, I recommend reviewing the structure of the different paragraphs and using connectors like "period" to make the ideas clearer and more precise.