

Review of: "Sociodemographic Factors and Parental Verbal Abuse in Indonesia"

Wahyu Septiono¹

¹ Universitas Indonesia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

General comments

1. The article would benefit from a proofread in order to improve its clarity and flow.
2. The title should specify the city/district to avoid reader confusion, as this study is not representative of the entire country.

Introduction

1. Despite the study's focus, the introduction lacks information about verbal abuse in Indonesia. This could be addressed by including a discussion about the prevalence and impact of verbal abuse in Indonesia, as well as why it is critical to address the issue.
2. One suggestion for improving the introduction is to structure it as follows: The first paragraph introduces global data and issues concerning verbal abuse. Section 2: A discussion of the effects of unaddressed verbal abuse; Section 3: An overview of verbal abuse in Indonesia and its impact; Section 4: A brief explanation of the study's significance and objectives.
3. The expected research results are not important to be included in the introduction.

Methods

The methods are unclear, and the authors need to describe a variety of approaches.

1. The methods section should be more specific in describing the statistical analysis performed in the study.
2. To provide a better understanding of the study, the variables used and their measurements should be explained in detail.
3. The criteria for verbal abuse should be refined, particularly the 38 statements in the instrument used to determine verbal abuse.
4. The sample selection, criteria, and study population should all be specified clearly.
5. The questionnaire used in the study should be explained, including whether or not it was piloted and whether or not the

language was tested for comprehension by participants.

Results

1. The study's analysis should be described more clearly in the methods section to provide a better understanding of the statistical analysis used. It's not clear whether the analysis was adjusted or not.
2. When using logistic regression, it is suggested that the authors use coefficient regression or odd-ratio instead of p-value to determine the direction of the analysis.

Discussion

1. The authors should avoid repeating the results (ie., frequency and p-Value) in the discussion section because it is redundant. Rather than restating the results, the discussion section should provide an interpretation of them and their implications.