

Review of: "[Case Report] A Rare Case of Carcinoma Erysipeloides After TNBC Mastectomy: Challenges in Diagnosis and Implications for Treatment"

Melih Simsek¹

¹ Bezmialem Vakif University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Editor,

This is a rare case and I think that it will contribute to the literature. Besides, I recommend some revisions in the manuscript.

1. The abbreviations in the manuscript including the abstract section should be revised and corrected.
2. There are too many keywords, I recommend less.
3. The authors did not mention the type of endocrine therapy, chemotherapy regimens, and radiotherapy fields. It will be better to know this information.
4. There are some spelling mistakes and confusing sentences. I recommend revision of these sections.
5. The background section is a little long, a shortened one will be better.
6. The authors mentioned that the metastatic lesion was excised. Why a tru-cut biopsy was not planned? I wish to know the reason.
7. The authors used the term 'breast cancer survivor'. Because the patient had metastatic disease, wouldn't be better to use term 'breast cancer patient'?

I think that this manuscript will be proper for publication after these revisions.

Best regards...