Journalists criticize research on COVID-19 by confusing it with tobacco harm reduction and by making false allegations about conflicts
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\textbf{Abstract}

A featured article in the BMJ, authored by journalists from the Investigative Desk, criticize research about COVID-19 and the cholinergic system by confusing it with tobacco harm reduction and non-pharmaceutical nicotine products. Their criticism is not based on an assessment of the studies content but on allegations about conflicts. I present the case that such allegations are false, and I provide documents showing that the journalists have conflicts of interest relevant to their article content that were not disclosed. Additionally, I present evidence on the link between COVID-19 and the cholinergic system, which form the basis for clinical trials to examine the effect of pharmaceutical cholinergic agonists in COVID-19 prevention and treatment.

\textbf{Introduction}

Recently, the BMJ featured an article by journalists-members of the Investigative Desk claiming that research on COVID-19 and the cholinergic system is linked to tobacco industry and tobacco harm reduction interests to promote nicotine [1]. The journalists claim that my research on COVID-19 has been motivated by commercial tobacco and nicotine interests, and that I have not properly disclosed my conflicts of interest in my studies. The BMJ article is the last of a series of articles in news media with similar content over the past 6 months [2,3]. In their latest article, the journalists not only repeat their allegations but they also mis-present scientific evidence about risk factors for COVID-19 and about the purpose and aims of my research work on COVID-19. In this article, I explain why their allegations about undisclosed conflicts are wrong, I present a short overview of my research on COVID-19, which is relevant to the cholinergic system and has nothing to do with tobacco harm reduction, and I provide evidence, through documents obtains from a Freedom of Information Act request, proving that the journalists have undisclosed conflicts of interest which are relevant to the content of their article. While I repeatedly tried to submit a response
online to the BMJ featured article (as a rapid response), none of my responses was ever published. Similarly, none of my letters to the media that published articles from The Investigative Desk journalists were ever released publicly by the media. The aim of this article is not to engage into a conflict between two parties but to restore transparency, through the presentation of undisclosed facts, and to explain the purpose and goals of research that explores the possibility that medications (cholinergic agonists) may have a therapeutic role in COVID-19.

**Funding and conflicts of interest**

The authors of the BMJ featured article present me as an employee of the Laboratory of Immunology and Molecular Biology of the University of Patras, and that I should have declared all conflicts relevant to the activities and collaborations of the director of the Laboratory. However, they were informed through my response (dated November 21, 2020) that I am a non-paid external associate at the Laboratory and that I have not received any salary, payment, remuneration, material or other forms of compensation from it [4]. The latter excludes me from any conflict of interest relevant to the Laboratory and its Director. Although the journalists could have independently verified this information, by asking the University or the Laboratory, and should have provided such documentation as proof for their claims, it is unclear if they made such an attempt. Of course, I have never participated in any Laboratory project funded by commercial entities, and I am in no position to know or be involved in any agreements that the Laboratory makes with commercial or non-commercial entities. Similarly, I have no connection with, and I have not participated in any activities of the Patras Science Park and the NOSMOKE startup, which appear in the BMJ article as recipients of funding from the Foundation for a Smoke Free World.

The journalists claim that I do not present my past conflicts of interest in the studies I publish. This is a false allegation. Not only do I report all relevant conflicts and funding in full transparency in all my publications, but I also report them for far longer than the 36-months period dictated by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors [5]. For example, funding (not “fee”, as falsely mentioned by the journalists) provided by the American E-Liquid Manufacturing Standards Association (which is a non-profit association) for a research project in 2013, resulting in two publications in 2014 and 2015 [6,7], was reported not only in the relevant publications but also in several other publications and as late as 2020 (7 years after the funding was received) [8]. Additionally, the meeting with the US regulators presenting results from this research project was also reported in the relevant publications [6,7] but, again, no fee was received for this meeting. Finally, all my research on COVID-19 was never funded by any entity and is irrelevant to my past research on tobacco harm reduction (as I explain below).

Ironically, the authors make allegations about conflicts of interest but fail to disclose their own conflicts of interest, specifically their funding from the University of Bath and from the Bloomberg Foundation through the University of Bath. Funding from the University of Bath is disclosed in the website of the Investigative Desk [9], but not in the BMJ article [1]. Additionally, documents obtained through a Freedom of Information Act request from the University of Bath on February 5, 2021, show that not only was the Investigative Desk
funded by the University [10,11], but it also received funding from the Bloomberg Foundation through the University of Bath [12]. While it is unclear if the funding was provided for the specific BMJ article, one of the documents mentions “Work on the Services will be completed on: Anticipated completion end of December 2020” [11]. This coincides with a similar article authored by the Investigative Desk in a French newspaper [2].

Interestingly, the article in the French newspaper currently lists Stephane Horel as the only author. However, that was not the case when the article was originally published. The WebAchive document dated December 19, 2020 mentions the following authors [13]: Stephane Horel, Ties Keyzer (“The Investigative Desk”), Eva Schram (“The Investigative Desk”) and Harry Karanikas (“The Investigative Desk”). However, the WebAchive document dated January 24, 2021 has removed all journalists-members of the Investigative Desk from the authors list [14]. It is unclear whether this represents a coincidence or it is linked to the above-mentioned funding that was never disclosed in any of the articles.

In any case, the documented funding received from the Investigative Desk represents an undisclosed conflict of interest that is relevant to the article content, since it has been reported that the Bloomberg Foundation has an anti-tobacco harm reduction agenda [15-17] and the journalists tried to discredit research on COVID-19 by linking it to my research on tobacco harm reduction. Notably, they never questioned the accuracy of the content, analysis, presentation or interpretation of any of my studies. Still, it needs to be emphasized that my research activities on COVID-19 are irrelevant to tobacco harm reduction.

**Research on COVID-19 and the cholinergic system**

All the discussion about conflicts is irrelevant to my research on COVID-19. The latter involves a potential interaction between SARS-CoV-2 and the cholinergic system. None of my work on COVID-19 was funded by any commercial or non-commercial entity. Perhaps due to their lack of expertise, the authors of the BMJ article fail to understand the fundamental difference between this research subject and my previous and ongoing research on tobacco harm reduction and non-pharmaceutical nicotine products. My work on COVID-19 explores the possibility that pharmaceutical cholinergic agonists have a therapeutic role in preventing and/or treating the disease. This is based not only on indirect observational data about the association between smoking and COVID-19, but also on in silico experiments [18]. Observational data on smoking and COVID-19 have been reported by several research groups. OpenSafely, a dataset of primary care records of 17.3 million adults in the UK, reported that current smoking was associated with an 11% lower chance of COVID-19 related death [19]. The authors of the BMJ article selectively presented the results of the analysis adjusting for age and sex only, but failed to present the fully-adjusted model. This may be justified due to their lack of expertise, but their statement is incorrect. OpenSafely reported that current smoking was associated with a lower risk for COVID-19 related death. Recently, a study published in the journal “Heart” (member of the BMJ Group) reported that heavy smokers were 88% less likely to be admitted to the ICU due to COVID-19, and 52% less likely to be diagnosed with COVID-19 [20]. Similarly,
another study from Germany found that smokers were 72% less likely to be diagnosed with COVID-19 [21]. Several other studies from Italy, France, Israel, UK, USA, Chile and South Korea reported similar results [22-28]. All studies from different research groups verify or expand on my findings that smokers are under-represented among hospitalized COVID-19 patients.

It should be emphasized that neither myself nor any other researcher reporting similar findings have ever suggested that smoking, one of the strongest preventable risk factors for a plethora of diseases, could ever be used for the prevention or treatment of COVID-19. Instead, this is indirect observational evidence which, combined with in silico experiments and established knowledge about the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway, has been used to generate a hypothesis about a potential interaction between SARS-CoV-2 and the cholinergic system. My hypothesis suggests a therapeutic effect for pharmaceutical cholinergic agonists [18,29]. In one of my studies, I mentioned choline, nicotine, galantamine and varenicline, all of which are medications that could be studied for their role in COVID-19 due to their action as alpha 7 acetylcholine receptor agonists [18]. Such a hypothesis is biologically plausible, considering the known properties of the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway in modulating the immune response and the fact that severe COVID-19 is associated with a hyper-inflammatory response [29,30]. Any hypothesis that may be generated from indirect observational evidence and in vitro or in silico experiments suffers from limitations, which have been comprehensively presented in all my studies. Still, the data form the basis for conducting clinical trials with pharmaceutical cholinergic agonists, which is the only way of verifying or rejecting a scientific hypothesis. The authors of the featured article have obviously misunderstood my research on COVID-19, which is not surprising considering their lack of expertise; therefore, I emphasize once again that the evidence on the association between smoking and COVID-19, which has been reported by several research groups, has been used in order to generate and test a hypothesis about the potential therapeutic effects of pharmaceutical cholinergic agonists on COVID-19. Such clinical trials have been proposed by others and are already underway [31-33].

Conclusion
In conclusion, journalists with undisclosed conflicts of interest made an effort to discredit research for COVID-19 by confusing my work with tobacco harm reduction, and by making allegations about conflicts of interest that are not only false but also irrelevant to COVID-19 research. The latter was not funded by any entity. They also mis-presented published evidence about the association between risk factors and COVID-19. It is concerning that the BMJ featured article remains unanswered, because such efforts may discourage other scientists from presenting research findings accurately and unbiased due to fear that they may be the subject of similar false allegations. It is important that research on COVID-19 prevention and treatment continues, and current evidence forms the basis for conducting clinical trials to evaluate the potential effect of cholinergic agonists on COVID-19 susceptibility and severity.
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