

Review of: "Facilitating Global Leadership Competencies of Tenured Faculty Members to Offer International Engineering Programs"

Jerald Fernandes¹

¹ Banasthali University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Congratulations to the authors of this article for choosing a well-deserved topic that requires attention in the current state of the academic setup. I was glad to see this article's content, which contains a lot of information on the chosen topic, and I could witness the hard work. Looking at the profiles of the authors, I see there is no need to elaborate on the article much; instead, I will only attempt to give suggestions as a scope for improvement.

The beginning of the article perhaps requires more attention to grab the reader's interest. In this article, I see less prominence given to making the reader comfortable with understanding the topic by making the introduction shorter and clearer and by including moderate scientific language.

1. The abstract does not provide clarity of the article easily.
2. The introduction is too long, has information overload, and has no specific area of focus.
3. In the introduction, I find it difficult to correlate the paragraphs. It is challenging to pinpoint the context.
4. I also find it difficult to bridge the gap between the sentences. The flow of information is missing.
5. In many places, citations are missing.
6. The literature review is acceptable; however, it would be a good idea to give the LR more focus.
7. Tables are prepared; where is the reference? Table 2 is repeated twice.
8. I am unclear what the scope of Section 5 is.
9. Methodology is missing.

Summary:

1. The topic needs an hour and is interesting.
2. I witness information overload and cannot pinpoint the article's context.
3. The article requires more attention to the scientific approach to research.