

Review of: "Food and Feeding of Atlantic Mudskipper Periophthalmus Barbarus in Ogbo-Okolo Mangrove Forest of Santa Barbara River, Bayelsa State Niger Delta, Nigeria"

Xavier Cheseto¹

1 International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Recommendation:

Major corrections. The manuscript should be revised, and a fresh submission should be made for consideration.

Nathaniel *et al.* conducted an interesting study on the Dietary Patterns of the Atlantic Mudskipper, Periophthalmus barbarus, in the Ogbo-Okolo Mangrove Forest, Santa Barbara River, Bayelsa State, Niger Delta, Nigeria. Although the study was well-designed, the experimental design and execution were confusing and difficult to follow for a general audience as there was no clear problem statement or research question that was being addressed, and the percentages provided for the various categories of diet exceeded 100%

Specifics:

- 1. In the abstract, why was the study of Atlantic mudskipper*Periophthalmus barbarus* diet important? This should come out strongly as a justification or problem statement for this study.
- 2. Summarize the data in the abstract, provide only what is relevant, and ensure that each category presented adds up to 100%. Additional results should be deleted and placed in the results section.
- 3. Delete the last sentence in the abstract. The study site should be taken to the materials and methods.
- 4. The first paragraph of the introduction lacks references.
- 5. First sentence of the introduction: All scientific names should be italicized.
- 6. Rewrite the first paragraph of the introduction as there are too many repetitions.
- 7. A strong justification for this study is missing.
- 8. In the study area, delete "by Aiteo company."
- 9. Figure one: The map should be redone to improve clarity and to capture the maps of Africa, Nigeria, and then the study site.
- 10. In the sample collection, why was it done during the rainy season? Include a figure, photo, or illustration showing the traps. How were the samples collected and killed? "cm" and "g" should be written as such and not in full.

Qeios ID: 9D6UC0 · https://doi.org/10.32388/9D6UC0