

Review of: "Microinsurance: Bibliography of Unclassified Literature"

Kwami Hope Quao¹

¹ Wenzhou-Kean University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I really commend the author for taking his time to compose this bibliography of unclassified literature. The stuff is written well and the language is clear and easy to read.

However, a bibliography should highlight the topic, the work done, the methodology and the findings or the conclusions and recommendations, perhaps. Most part of this work gave an incomplete narration of the work done by the authors.

In literature, some authors corroborate the work of other earlier authors and these must be brought together to present one cohesive idea to ensure the flow of the narration. Most of the narrations are in fragments. Linking the later work to the previous ones and emphasizing how one author further the idea of the other or contradicts or objects some other previous studies will add much value.

Even though the author chose to present unclassified literature yet it will serve well if literature on certain relevant works follow each other and are linked in a manner that will not sway the reader and raise questions in the reader's mind. For instance, studies relating to definition, regulation, strategy and implementation, evaluation, impact/outcome, challenges. These information need to be brought together.

The topic talks about 'microinsurance', and an element of 'microfinance'. Since the spotlight is on the microinsurance concept it is hard to understand why micro-finance is being discussed extensively and not microinsurance.

My advice is that the author should expand on the bibliographies to include methodology and findings for all the authors used and cited. The author should also try to indicate how one author corroborates the ideas espoused by another or disputes it. This will ensure the arguments and the counter-arguments come forth to enable a good conclusion from the reader.

I noted a few repetitions, e.g. *Oscar Joseph Kotey et al.* Check this and delete them as appropriate. The whole of certain paragraphs are also repeated. Hope you can check this also.

Notwithstanding the above observations, the author earns my commendation.