

Peer Review

Review of: "Intelligence Sequencing and the Path-Dependence of Intelligence Evolution: AGI-First vs. DCI-First as Irreversible Attractors"

Klaus Stiefel¹

1. NeuroLinx Research Institute, La Jolla, United States

Review of "Intelligence Sequencing and the Path-Dependence of Intelligence Evolution: AGI-First vs. DCI-First as Irreversible Attractors"

The fundamental idea, to explore two pathways leading to advanced intelligence, is very interesting. Whether the journal wants to publish such overarching position papers is up to the editor.

The author lost me in a few spots: "If a simple animation could communicate all of the insights in this paper without mathematical formalisms or references, this would serve as direct evidence that intelligence does not require externally imposed constraints to generate and communicate knowledge." – how does that directly relate to the ideas? Streamlining the manuscript might help.

Also, the author seems to be sure that a pathway to AGI/DCI will certainly happen; there is not a lot of discussion of the caveats. In this regard, more scholarly discussion would be good.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.