

# Review of: "The Unavoidable Order of Things': Fabricated Resistance in George Orwell's 1984"

Farah Narenji<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Tehran

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

I would like to thank the author for their insightful review. I have some suggestions to enhance it further:

## 1. Abstract

Considering that the method and purpose of the study are not mentioned in the abstract, the author's conclusion is general and unclear. The audience of an abstract should be able to answer the following questions after reading it:

- What was your aim in this article?

- What has been done?

- What results have been obtained?

## 2 Introduction

The introduction of the dear author is like a part of the discussion and review of the article. For example, the author has mentioned and discussed the number eleven in the title of the introduction. Suppose the place of this topic is not in the introduction but in the article's discussion section. The introduction should introduce the main objectives of the research and should also provide a background related to the research, to determine what studies have been done related to the research topic. In this way, the reason for the novelty of the article is selected, and finally, the hypothesis and research questions and their demands are raised in the introduction.

## 3. The text of the article

It is better to start the review with the title "discussion" and under this title, the mentioned items should be reviewed.

## 4. Conclusion

The result should be the result of the new studies and findings of the author, which are extracted from the text. In this regard, it is not appropriate to quote and bring the opinions of others in this part of the article.

Thanks, and best wishes to the dear author

