

Peer Review

Review of: "Knowledge of Risk Associated with Exposure to Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in Abuja, Nigeria"

Jonae Wood¹

1. College of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, North Carolina A&T State University, United States

Thank you for your work. The paper is decent overall; it just needs a bit more work on the following areas:

Abstract

1. It would be nice to define a few of the common industries where one would find PFAS in Nigeria/globally. Expand a bit more on the industrial effects.
2. Consider rewording the sentence "Also, reports indicate that some of these products are being phased out in America and Europe, but some of them still find their way to African markets and other parts of the world." so that it reads more clearly.
3. Maintain consistency: are you referring to continental America or the USA, the country?

Introduction

1. Paragraph 3: define USEPA.
2. Consider the order in which information is presented to provide clarity.

Materials and Methods

More information is needed to make this section more robust for reproducibility. The statistical analysis needs further explanation. What formula was used? Were there any methods used to detect errors?

Discussion

1. If the purpose of the article is to be informative, as the abstract suggests, then a bit more detail is needed on potential factors that could have contributed to participants' answers.
2. The comparison study can be expanded regionally; potentially look into what information is available for neighbouring countries.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.