

Review of: "Contextualizing Public Coastal Access Challenges in South Africa, a Review of Best Practices in Selected Countries"

Valdemiro Muhala¹

¹ Instituto Superior Politécnico de Gaza

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Minor Comments

Abstract.

R. My suggestion is that the abstract should also include or enumerate some of the challenges faced in South Africa regarding coastal management.

Methodology.

Q1. It's always important to mention the year range of the papers used in your revision. Please include them.

Q2. What are the selected countries??? If you used a method to identify these countries, it's worth citing them in the methodology.

I see that you only worked with the country South Africa. But there is a spelling that means southern Africa when mentioning Select Best Countries. Revise it. If you only worked with South Africa, then you have to remove the word "Countries."

Content.

The comments are regarding the chronological organization of the topics. The author should nominate well what the priorities of each topic are to make it easier to understand.

FINAL COMMENTS.

The article presents very interesting topics regarding the practices of using the coastal environment by bringing out some experiences and comparing them to the South African low and practices.

Some of the sections, basically section 3, need a clear organization of the topics. But it doesn't interfere with the quality of the paper. Therefore, I RECOMMEND that after these minor considerations, the paper is suitable for publication if the author considers my minor inputs.

