

# Review of: "Biological Parenthood and Reproductive Technologies"

Wenlong Zhao

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

I must admit that I found the paper's message somewhat unclear. In China (and many other countries or regions), parenthood and fertility treatment are not obligatory; they are personal choices rather than mandated adherence to patriarchal norms. I also disagree with the study's assumption that women are inherently weaker or primarily victims. Women's biological role in reproduction can, in fact, establish a matriarchy in many families, and a woman's reproductive potential can either constrain or empower her – I believe the latter is more common. The most crucial point is that modern scientific papers typically rely on data and facts as a basis, providing logical evidence to support the author's viewpoints. However, this article repeatedly presents arguments without much data analysis or the presentation of corresponding examples or facts. It appears to assume that many things are self-evident. Instead of calling this article a scientific paper, it would be more accurate to describe it as a self-opinionated piece.