

Review of: "Publish or perish: time for a rethink?"

Marii Abdeljaoued

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article at hand brings forth insightful concerns regarding the publishing culture in academia. It highlights the need for a critical examination of the prevailing practices. However, while the article makes valid points, it is important to critically assess its ideas and consider potential criticisms. In this review, we will explore the arguments presented, ultimately aiming to provide a balanced perspective on the topic.

One notable merit of the article lies in its emphasis on the importance of empirical evidence. It rightly points out that the article relies heavily on anecdotal evidence and general observations, lacking concrete data or studies to support its claims. By referencing specific research studies or surveys that explore the impact of the "publish or perish" culture and the quality of research in different publishing models, the article could have strengthened its arguments and provided a more solid foundation for its claims.

Another strength lies in the article's acknowledgment of concerns surrounding the Open Access (OA) publishing model. While it portrays OA publishing as primarily driven by financial motives, it raises valid concerns about the prevalence of low-quality research due to the potential for predatory journals and inadequate quality control. This recognition underscores the need for ongoing discussions and improvements in the OA publishing model.

One issue with the article is its oversimplification of the OA publishing model. It tends to generalize the model as solely motivated by financial gains and implies that it has led to an inundation of low-quality research. However, it fails to acknowledge that OA publishing also facilitates broader access to research, enabling a wider audience to benefit from scholarly findings. Moreover, it fails to mention the potential for increased citations and impact that can accompany OA publications. A more nuanced discussion of the benefits and drawbacks of OA publishing would have enhanced the article's credibility and coherence.