

Review of: "Fear Factors in Open Spaces – Children's Perception of Public Open Spaces"

Amir Hossein Askari¹

1 University of Malaya

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1) A sentence should be added at the end of abstract explaining how the findings contribute to the field of study.
- 2) A separate section should be allocated to the literature review.
- 3) In the analysis, the coding system for eliciting factors should be explained more. I feel you need a more profound analysis. What did you exactly ask the children? How did you select them?
- 4) All figures should be explained in the text.
- 5) We need to have a discussion section.
- 6) References are meager. How about recently published papers?
- 7) The conclusion should include limits of the study, which are considered the points of departure for the future studies.
- 8) You claimed that CPTED would be integrated into the study; but, it is not well applied in the study. Please avoid mentioning CPTED in your research as it is a broad issue and should be pursued in a separate study in the future. If you persist in using it, then you will need to analyze your data considering all the elements of CPTED.

Qeios ID: 9RKA7Q · https://doi.org/10.32388/9RKA7Q