# Commentary

# Building a digital republic to reduce health disparities and improve population health in the United States

Peter Muennig<sup>1</sup>, Roman Pabayo<sup>2</sup>, Emilie Courtin<sup>3</sup>

1. Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, United States; 2. Canada Research Chair Tier II in Social and Health, University of Alberta, Canada; 3. London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom

Income, schooling, and healthcare are key ingredients for optimizing human's ecological niche for survival. But most government programs that are designed to provide a hand up in these domains are difficult to access. While many Americans struggle to pay taxes, few understand the difficulties associated with enrolling in Medicaid, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families. A remarkably small percentage of needy families receive the social benefits to which they are entitled, and that percentage is smaller for those most in need (those with physical disabilities, caregiving responsibilities). To address this problem, the Child Tax Credit in the American Rescue Plan provided automatic enrollment and worked hard to locate more low-income families. But until everyone has a digital footprint that allows automated enrollment, the sickest and most vulnerable citizens will remain in the informal sector. By expanding data systems so that all Americans have a digital identity across multiple datasets, it not only becomes possible for all Americans to simplify their lives but for welfare services to work for the most vulnerable, as they are intended. This commentary explores how creating a digital republic might improve population health and reduce health disparities in the US.

Corresponding author: Peter Muennig, pm124@cumc.columbia.edu

## Introduction

Only well-off Americans are adroit at navigating the complex bureaucracies of banks, taxes, and licensure requirements required for day-to-day survival in a complex society. But failing to navigate these bureaucracies impacts not only Americans' wallets, but likely also their health. In this commentary, we describe how social services and government benefits can be automatically delivered to eligible

participants by creating a universal digital identity that opens the door to turning the US into a digital republic.

#### Social benefits and population health in the US

Social benefits have long been hypothesized to improve health by providing key social goods that are needed for survival (housing, health care, education) to socially disadvantaged populations. [1][2] Recently, randomized controlled trials have shown that social policies that are effective at increasing economic well-being can also improve population health. [3]

For a social policy to improve health, though, it must also improve economic well-being. Unfortunately most social policies simply don't do what they are supposed to do (provide health insurance, deliver income support for low-income workers). [4][5] Perhaps the largest reason that social benefits fail to reach their recipients is that they are simply too difficult for the neediest Americans to access. Most social benefits require long forms and arduous proof of eligibility. The most prevalent and difficult barrier to leap is "means testing," which requires the applicant to prove that their income is below the threshold for benefit eligibility. Unemployed people can find it especially difficult to provide proof of income simply because they no paycheck.

In experimental trials of social benefits, participants are aided in filling out forms and finding documents needed to prove their eligibility. But even under these circumstances, only about half of eligible participants typically succeeded in obtaining tax credits, Medicaid benefits, or housing vouchers.

[6][7] Clearly removing barriers to receive social benefits would help, but identifying eligible participants and automatically enrolling them would be better still.

#### Automating payments

Benefits that are ostensibly automatic do not reach all their intended recipients because the government simply lacks data on the most disadvantaged Americans. If one has never worked, is homeless, or works in the informal sector, he or she is unlikely to have a recorded address in which to receive a payment.

The American Rescue Plan passed under President Biden recognized that the neediest Americans were not all receiving economic stimulus checks.<sup>[8]</sup> As a result, when planning for the extended child tax credit, the Biden administration charged the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) with the task of finding custodial parents that were missing in the IRS database, and then sending out checks. This was no small

task for an underfunded federal agency. The IRS nevertheless managed to find many eligible parents and sent checks with no need to apply for benefits. 9

#### The US misses the target

The average caregiver is probably less likely to have disabling mental illness or a physical disability than other recipients of social benefits. Therefore, providing custodial parents with an automatic child tax credit may seem like a relatively straightforward task. However, the government must first verify that the intended beneficiary is a parent, that the child is alive and living with the parent, and that the household income is under \$75,000 if single or \$150,000 if there are two parents filing together. Doing so requires a lot of secondary data that allows eligible recipients to be correctly targeted without the need for them to fill out additional forms.

In an ideal world, all social benefits would be similarly targeted. For instance, a self-employed worker with an income of \$30,000/year may be able to afford most survival needs except for private health insurance, which could consume over a third of pre-tax income. Were public insurance (Obamacare, Medicaid) provided to this individual automatically, there would be no need for the worker to research cryptic insurance plans (with different deductibles and co-payments) or to fill out complex forms. When insurance is provided automatically, the recipient can devote more time to work and government agencies would need fewer workers to check paperwork. The key issues of finding those in need for services, targeting services to those with specific needs based on individual characteristics, and then enrolling them in services could be solved by the creation of a digital republic.

# What is a digital republic?

Estonia was the first nation on earth to use a digital identity card to secure linkages between troves of individual-level data in order to bring all government services online, thereby building a digital republic. 

[10] In Estonia, each citizen has a digital identity that links all of the individual's data together. This allows the individual to live virtually, such that the government can continue to operate from another nation in the event of an occupation by Russia. [10]

Having a secure digital identity is central to running a digital republic; e-Estonia has not had a major data breach since 2007. [11][12] Sweden, China, and South Korea have also all been able to automate most of the tasks that we all need to get ahead in society—to obtain a loan, obtain a credit card, apply for

university, or open a business. In China, a loan can be obtained in 3 minutes using a much more reliable predictive algorithm than is used by banks in the US. [13]

Were everyone able to participate in formal services (banking, licensing) while also receiving social benefits (housing, health insurance), economic and health disparities may begin to fade.

#### Improving privacy, reducing fraud

Digital identities underpin a digital republic. Typically, when a user logs into an email or bank account, that person's "credentials" are certified by a username and password. This is ideally followed by a second verification, such as a text message. An ultra-secure system might use a state issued card with a chip or a live satellite-linked code to verify that the user is who they claim to be. This secure credential ensures that "Tanya Jones" is the one who is accessing Tanya Jones' data within any given dataset.

When the identity is certain, it is possible to create a safe linkage between multiple encrypted datasets in both the public (Social Security, Department of Motor Vehicles) and private (bank, college) sectors. For example, a person applying for a job as a truck driver would provide the employer with permission to verify the applicant's employment history, licensure to drive a truck, and driving violations all while granting the employer permission to deposit paychecks by accessing each database.

An important element of this example is that the private information that the employer receives is limited only to that for which the user provides permission. In the US, if a customer wishes to buy alcohol, the storeowner is provided with the customer's name, driver's license number, and date of birth. In a digital republic, the storeowner only needs to know whether the person is over or under the age of 21, and this can be verified with a tap of the phone or ID card against a console. Age eligibility can even be encoded in the digital payment (credit card, Venmo) so that the purchase and verification happen at the same time. In this way, digital identities can increase privacy by returning control of one's identity and personal data back to the user. (But it does make getting a free drink on one's 21<sup>st</sup> birthday a bit more difficult.)

## Setting standards

A set of transparent but secure international standards for identities, data formats, and data infrastructure have been developed, such as Trust Over IP.<sup>[14]</sup> Such standards are built on the concept of "self-sovereign identity," which gives a user control over access to their data and sets standards for data formats and use. Such standards are necessary if the US to implement a system like Estonia's while also

increasing transparency. Standards for software work much in the same way that standards for hardware work; if all bicycle cranks have the same threading and size, then any pedal can be used on any crank. Likewise, databases built on the same standards become easily interoperable.

Proper data structures do not centralize data in one spot, but rather allow access to different datasets located in different systems. It is important to decentralize the data where it resides (the Department of Motor Vehicles, Unemployment Insurance) because it is unlikely that a hacker would be able to breach more than one system. This is one of the shortcomings of e-Estonia (while it there has not been a known breach in a long time, the centralized nature of the data system offers hackers a single target). This illustrates how different nations have different levels of success in achieving the self-sovereign identity required to operate a digital republic securely and effectively.

#### International successes and failures

That e-Estonia fails to de-centralize its databases highlights the difficulty in assessing how one should gauge a nation's success in building a comprehensive and equitable digital republic. While leading experts agree that Estonia offers all services online in an accessible way that protects privacy, not all agree that it is the ideal example of a digital republic simply because different experts have different ideas of what constitutes an "ideal." [15]

Certainly, China, a *Black Mirror* super digital republic, should not count even though it is cashless and automates tax filings. This is because it also tracks every text, email, and movement of its citizens some of whom disappear or are placed in camps. By contrast, data access in Estonia can only happen with user consent, and any citizen logging into Estonia.ee can see which agencies or companies have accessed their data. [16]

The *United Nations E-Government Survey*, for example.<sup>[17]</sup> It ranks nations baked upon telecommunications infrastructure, human capital, and online services. Thus, a nation can provide all services online in a very accessible and secure way but rank lower than countries than nations that score high in infrastructure or human capital. The United States is well known for its failures to bring government services to its people in a secure and private way (healthcare.gov, ID.me), for its continued reliance on cash, for private and public security breaches (Equifax, Office of Personnel Management), and for its atrocious privacy blunders (Cambridge Analytica, the Snowden files) but nevertheless ranks relatively highly in the United Nation's *E-Government Survey*.

While the UN's focus on the benefits of a digital republic for vulnerable populations is laudable, a much better way of viewing the world is to therefore assess whether it is possible to conduct all transactions online, the proportion of the population that does so, and how adherent those transactions are to standards. By these criteria, Northern Europe and Korea stand out. Both analog America and the super-digital republic of China would fail on privacy and protection standards no matter how advanced the telecommunications infrastructure is. Only after a system is accessible, secure, and private will a system serve its vulnerable.

# How a digital republic might benefit population health

The effort required to achieve a digital republic in the US would be immense, but so too would be the health and economic benefits that might arise from such an effort. This is in no small part because a digital republic could provide targeted automatic enrollment in social benefits, such as public health insurance or income support, that reduce hardships like living in deteriorating housing, being a victim of crime, or being able to afford healthy food. [3] But being able to pay the bills produces health effects that extend beyond overcoming material hardship.

#### An analog life increases stress

Another way is by reducing psychological stress. Just as a car would be of little use if it could not gear up to climb a hill, humans would not survive without the ability to rev up the stress response to escape a large cat. But constantly revving an engine will cause the car to wear out quickly. The stress response in humans produces neurohumoral changes like increased levels of adrenaline and steroids. The result is wear and tear on the body's organs, damaging health through a process called "allostatic load."

The hassles of daily living are stressful and take a health toll on the wealthy and poor alike. But this stress is probably much more acute and severe in socially disadvantaged groups. [20][21] If one cannot pay bills, these hassles are amplified (heat going off, collection agencies). A digital republic potentially revs down the human engine by removing the daily stress associated with navigating modern complex societies; less time is spent on automated phone systems and more time working and playing.

#### An analog life requires executive function skills

Just as stress takes a toll on the body, it takes a toll on the brain, making it more difficult for socially disadvantaged groups to perform basic tasks, such as opening a bank account and enrolling in bill

payment. These tasks require "executive function" skills, which are located in the forebrain and atrophy quickly when a person is stressed. [22][23][24][25] If socially disadvantaged people are unable to engage in the hassles of daily living, they can enter a downward spiral in which poverty leads to poor executive function, which leads to lower earnings, which leads to worse executive function. Given this, it is easy to see why homelessness is growing rather than receding.

#### An analog life limits political participation

In addition to reducing material hardship (bad housing, crime), making it easier to participate in everyday society (paying bills, getting a license), and overcoming executive function deficits that are more common among socially disadvantaged people, a digital republic may also improve health by increasing political participation. As of May 2022, 27 states have enacted over 436 laws that restrict voting rights. These laws disproportionately impact individuals from low-income households, racial minority groups, and younger US residents. As a result, these groups do not have elected representatives who can advocate for their survival needs, such as housing, healthcare, and education. By reducing barriers to receiving social benefits, reducing stress, increasing access to everyday services, and increasing voter participation, a digital republic can potentially reduce health disparities will also potentially improving overall population health.

# Precision welfare, precision public health, precision medicine

In the US, some medical providers continue to take notes on pen and paper. Large healthcare providers sometimes find that systems in one clinic do not communicate with systems in another because they are using multiple billing or electronic medical record providers. As a result, there is a need to replicate forms, redo laboratory tests, and maintain personnel just to deal with redundant administrative bureaucracies. These are just two reasons why administrative costs in the US health system are upwards of four times higher than in other wealthy nations.

When data systems are unified, it not saves patients time and reduces redundancy in the health system, it also becomes possible to better understand how different treatments work in different populations. [28][30] This is because many years of data can be used to link a given treatment that a particular patient received with medical outcomes over time. If a similar patient received a different treatment, outcomes associated with the first treatment can be compared with those of the second. This

approach to tailoring medicine based upon a given individual's characteristics is called precision medicine.

Medical data are becoming sufficiently detailed that patients are not only defined by demographic characteristics, but also genetic characteristics, epigenetic data, metabolomics, and proteomics. [28][31] This might allow for highly customized treatment regimens to be added to clinical trials in the future. Big data-driven precision medicine is particularly important for racial and ethnic minorities, groups that tend to be left out of clinical trials. Precision medicine and precision welfare could also serve as a form of precision public health, easing the social risk factors that drive premature aging and harmful environmental exposures thereby improving the health and well-being of the American public.

Frighteningly, the same paper and pen tools used in doctors' offices are sometimes used to record some disease surveillance activities in the US, too. This is often done by faxing information from one office to the next. [34] South Korea, which deploys sophisticated centralized public health and real-time tracking data systems, had an operational application for testing and tracking Covid-19 cases down to the street level within a month of the first case. [35] Taiwan, which is a world leader in unifying health data systems, had an operational system within three months of the first detected case of Covid-19. [28] At the time of writing, three years into the Covid-19 pandemic, there was no way to track the crudest measures of progress such as tests or vaccinations in the US. Data were inaccurate and often hand summed from different sources (primarily thanks to a heroic effort by Johns Hopkins University). Had the US had a system for comprehensive data management at the individual level like South Korea and Taiwan, countless lives might have been saved, particularly among the most socially disadvantaged.

# Limitations

There are also drawbacks to digital identity schemes. Foremost, they must be implemented in a very user-friendly way to avoid increasing health and economic disparities rather than reducing them. Some elderly, disabled, and homeless people will require special require one-on-one assistance. One solution is to open walk-in centers that provide digital services to those who cannot access them from their phone or laptop. A single point of in-person accommodation can replace a wide array of government and private services at a tiny fraction of current taxpayer outlays for in-person services.

Undocumented immigrants would also require special attention. At present, undocumented immigrants in sanctuary cities require a parallel system of social benefits in which little by way of an

identity verification is needed for enrollment. Were digital identities implemented, these services would have to remain within a parallel system.

Of course, it is much easier to solve these problems in writing than in practice. From the management of Hurricane Katrina to the rollout of Obamacare on Healthcare.gov, the US has a long history of failing where other governments have succeeded. Fortunately, Estonia, South Korea, Japan, and Denmark offer assistance to other nations who wish to replicate their successes. [17] (In fact, anyone can obtain an ecitizenship in Estonia for \$100 Euros, though the benefit applies only to the virtual nation and not the geographic one.)

For any system to work, transparency must be ensured. Currently, the approach to digital identity schemes in both the private and public sectors within the US appears to be stealth implementation. Apple implemented biometrics to conveniently unlock a phone. It then subsequently used the data collected by the users for unlocking the phone to credential third party website logins. This includes logins to banks. While passwords can be easily changed, facial dimensions cannot. A data breach in Shanghai included the first large-scale case of biometric data theft. This is particularly dangerous in a country where facial recognition software is in widespread use, not just shopping and banking but also in police activities. Stolen biometric data could potentially implicate innocent citizens in state crimes.

A self-sovereign identity requirement largely solves these problems but is not favored by most big tech lobby groups (Microsoft and MasterCard are two exceptions to this rule). Therefore, these examples point not only toward reasons why it is important to ensure that self-sovereign identity is deployed, they also point to political risks associated with transparency. Rollout would take years. The longer rollout takes, the longer the process would be subject to the US' Machiavellian politics. However, the US increasingly faces existential threats to its dominance as a superpower, and these threats may create political momentum for change.

A related limitation is that, while digital identities in theory eliminate the risk of voter fraud, politicians that wish to keep disadvantaged groups away from the virtual ballot box will find a way of doing so. Whether the same politicians view increasing efficiency in business affairs as more important than voting restrictions remains to be seen. In a federalist system, the voting guarantee afforded by digital identities may have to ultimately be granted by the state. As blue states increasingly offer social benefits, current trends suggest that geography is becoming more important than skin color as a social determinant of health. [37]

#### **Future work**

Future interdisciplinary research is needed to examine how to implement a digital republic given the political economy of the US (political science), how to deploy digital identity schemes in a federalist republic (implementation science, law), and on which data systems can be integrated and which must be rebuilt (information technology).

## **Conclusions**

The challenges confronting American society in the second half of the 21<sup>st</sup> century are much broader and more complex than those in the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, including ever growing differences between those with more or less education. But the technology available to address these problems is also exponentially more powerful. A digital republic can put privacy and security back in the hands of the user while greatly simplifying day-to-day tasks, making it a "no-brainer" policy tool. Were automatic enrollment in welfare systems achievable in a Rawlsian world, then the remaining arsenal of welfare programs could, in theory, be targeted to individuals using predictive analytics.

However, there are both business and political forces that resist this idea. Political groups that are numeric minorities have a strong incentive to resist technologies that can surmount barriers to voting. Meanwhile, technology companies have a strong incentive to resist technologies that allow users to control their data.

Were it to be successfully implemented, automation of social policies would likely pay for itself many times over by targeting programs to individuals in need, ferreting out fraud, reducing government personnel, advancing predictive analytics that can improve precision medicine and precision public health, and improving population health. Baby steps are needed, with automatic enrollment in public health insurance programs (Medicaid, Indian Health Services, Obamacare) a logical first step. [38]

#### Ethics statement

No institutional review board approval was required.

# Competing interests

The author is on the advisory board of Qeios. Peer review and article acceptance procedures are crowdsourced, and therefore independent of journal advising activities under the Qeios model. The

author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.

## References

- 1.  $\triangle$ Phelan JC, Link BG. Fundamental cause theory. Springer; 2013. p. 105-25.
- 2. △Virchow R. Notes on the typhus epidemic prevailing in Upper Silesia. Arch Pathologische Anatomic Physiol ogic Klinische Medizin. 1849;2:143–322.
- 3. a. b. Courtin E, Kim S, Song S, Yu W, Muennig P. Can Social Policies Improve Health? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of 38 Randomized Trials. Milbank Quarterly. 2020;98(2):297-371.
- 4. △United States Department of the Treasury. Federal sepnding by category and agency. Available online at: https://datalab.usaspending.gov/americas-finance-guide/spending/categories/. Accessed 7/11/2022.
- 5. ≜Haskins R, Margolis G. Show me the evidence: Obama's fight for rigor and results in social policy: Brooking s Institution Press; 2014.
- 6. <sup>△</sup>Kling JR, Liebman JB, Katz LF. Experimental analysis of neighborhood effects. Econometrica. 2007;75(1):83 –119.
- 7. ≜Baicker K, Taubman SL, Allen HL, Bernstein M, Gruber JH, Newhouse JP, et al. The Oregon Experiment E ffects of Medicaid on Clinical Outcomes. The New England Journal of Medicine. 2013;368(18):1713-22.
- 8. Newman A. No address, no ID, and struggling to get their stimulus checks. New York Times. 5/8/2021. Avail able online at: https://www.nytimes.com/2021/04/05/nyregion/homeless-stimulus-check.html Accessed 8/9/2022.
- 9. △Philbrick, I.P. The Upshot. Why Isn't Biden's Expanded Child Tax Credit More Popular? New York Times. 1/ 5/2022. Available online at: https://www.nytimes.com/2022/01/05/upshot/biden-child-tax-credit.html Acce ssed 2/7/2022.
- 10. <sup>a, b</sup>Heller N. Estonia: the digital republic. The New Yorker. Available online at: https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2017/12/18/estonia-the-digital-republic Accessed 2/8/2022. 2017.
- 11. ^Davis, J. Hackers take down the most wired country in Europe. Wired. 8/21/2007. Available online at: https://www.wired.com/2007/08/ff-estonia/. Accessed 2/08/2022.
- 12. △Past, L., & Brown, K. (2019, March 28). Estonia is winning the cyber war against election meddling. Retriev ed May 5, 2019 from https://qz.com/1582916/estonia-is-winning-the-cyber-war-against-election-meddlin g/.

- 13. <sup>△</sup>McMorrow R, N. L. Ant's huge lending business powers \$30bn IPO. Available online at: https://www.ft.com/content/935401f8-a374-4c15-ba8a-12c600ac3443 Accessed 8/2/2022.
- 14.  $\underline{a}$ ,  $\underline{b}$ Trust Over IP. Available online at: https://trustoverip.org Accessed 2/5/2022.
- 15. Akalvet T. Innovation: a factor explaining e-government success in Estonia. Electronic Government, an International Journal. 2012;9(2):142-57.
- 16. △Barbaschow A. e-Estonia: What is all the fuss about? CNET. Available online at: https://www.zdnet.com/ar ticle/e-estonia-what-is-all-the-fuss-about/. Accessed 8/9/2022. 2018.
- 17. <sup>a, b</sup>United Nations E-Government Survey, 2018. Available online at: https://publicadministration.un.org/ego vkb/en-us/Reports/UN-E-Government-Survey-2018 Accessed 8/9/2022.
- 18. <sup>a, <u>b</u></sup>McEwen BS. Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. The New England Journal of Medicine Med. 1998;338(3):171-9.
- 19. <sup>A</sup>Sapolsky RM. Stress, health and social behavior. Animal Behavior Elsevier. 2019:163-70.
- 20. <sup>△</sup>Cohen S, Doyle WJ, Baum A. Socioeconomic status is associated with stress hormones. Psychosom Med. 20 06;68(3):414-20.
- 21. ^Steptoe A, Kunz-Ebrecht S, Owen N, Feldman PJ, Willemsen G, Kirschbaum C, et al. Socioeconomic status a nd stress-related biological responses over the working day. Psychosom Med. 2003;65(3):461-70.
- 22. AHackman DA, Gallop R, Evans GW, Farah MJ. Socioeconomic status and executive function: Developmental trajectories and mediation. Developmental Science. 2015;18(5):686-702.
- 23. Anaver CC, Blair C, Willoughby M. Poverty as a predictor of 4-year-olds' executive function: New perspectives on models of differential susceptibility. Developmental Psychol. 2013;49(2):292.
- 24. <sup>△</sup>Wolf TJ. Participation in work: The necessity of addressing executive function deficits. Work. 2010;36(4):45 9-63.
- 25. △Blair C, Granger D, Peters Razza R. Cortisol reactivity is positively related to executive function in preschool l children attending Head Start. Child Development. 2005;76(3):554-67.
- 26. Arailable online at: https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/research-reports/voting-laws-roundup-may-2022. Accessed 8/2/2022.
- 27. △Pabayo R, Liu SY, Grinshteyn E, Cook DM, Muennig P. Barriers to Voting and Access to Health Insurance A monq US Adults: A Cross-Sectional Study. The Lancet Regional Health-Americas. 2021:100026.
- 28. <sup>a, b, c, d</sup>Wang K, Muennig P. Realizing the promise of big data: how Taiwan can help the world reduce medic al errors and advance precision medicine. Applied Computing and Informatics. 2022(DOI 10.1108/ACI-11-20

21-0298).

- 29. <sup>a, <u>b</u></sup>Woolhandler S, Campbell T, Himmelstein DU. Costs of health care administration in the United States an d Canada. The New England Journal of Medicine Med. 2003;349(8):768-75.
- 30. △Metspalu A. ePerMed-Rise of scientific excellence and collaboration for implementing personalised medici ne in Estonia-H2020. Impact. 2018;2018(7):53-5.
- 31. ARaffington L, Belsky DW. Integrating DNA Methylation Measures of Biological Aging into Social Determin ants of Health Research. Current Environmental Health Reports. 2022:1-15.
- 32.  $\triangle$ Belsky DW, Caspi A, Arseneault L, Baccarelli A, Corcoran DL, Gao X, et al. Quantification of the pace of biolo gical aging in humans through a blood test, the DunedinPoAm DNA methylation algorithm. Elife. 2020;9.
- 33. △Bartlett C, Doyal L, Ebrahim S, Davey P, Bachmann M, Egger M, et al. The causes and effects of socio-dem ographic exclusions from clinical trials. Health Technol Assess. 2005;9(38):iii-152.
- 34. Department of Health and Human Services. Public health 3.0: a call to action to create a 21st century publi c health infrastructure. 2016. https://www.healthypeople.gov/sites/default/files/Public-Health-3.0-White-Paper.pdf. Accessed April 18, 2022.
- 35. Park S, Choi GJ, Ko H. Information technology–based tracing strategy in response to COVID-19 in South Ko rea—privacy controversies. JAMA. 2020;323(21):2129-30.
- 36. △Shen X. Facial recognition data leaks are rampant in China as Covid-19 pushes wider use of the technolog y. South China Morning Post. July 16, 2022. Available online at: https://www.scmp.com/abacus/tech/article/3104512/facial-recognition-data-leaks-rampant-across-china-covid-19-pushes Accessed 8/10/2022.
- 37. △Woolf SH, Schoomaker H. Life Expectancy and Mortality Rates in the United States, 1959-2017. JAMA. 201 9;322(20):1996-2016.
- 38. AMcIntyre A, Shepard M. Automatic insurance policies-important tools for preventing coverage loss. The Ne w England Journal of Medicine Med. 2022;386(5):408-11.

#### **Declarations**

**Funding:** The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

**Potential competing interests:** The author is on the advisory board of Qeios. Peer review and article acceptance procedures are crowdsourced, and therefore independent of journal advising activities under the Qeios model. The author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.