

Review of: "Understanding Moral Injury and Its Predictors among Chinese Physicians"

Hamideh Baniyadi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Background:

1. Coherence and cohesion are not observed; the items were not related.
2. Many informal words in the text are seen, like "so on." In paragraph 3, please check the text again.
3. The gap is not pointed with accuracy.

Methods:

1. Exclusion criteria: number one is a repetition of inclusion criteria.
2. Why were 128 questionnaires invalid and excluded?
3. MI questionnaire: Alpha Cronbach 0.73 is acceptable? It should be revised.
4. Other questionnaires are not clearly explained.

Discussion:

1. Numbers in the discussion are not necessary. Please delete them if they are not needed.
2. About PMIEs, where are the in-line or in-contrast studies?
3. In researchers' opinions, why have women shown a higher level of MI?
4. Coherence and cohesion in this field is not observed again; please check your article. It should be better. Discuss any item that you gain separately, and just separate descriptive and specific variables.
5. More discussion is needed about your questionnaires' results.

Conclusion:

It is better to write it before limitations.

Where are the ethical consideration and code?

Acknowledgment is not written.

