

Review of: "The Failure of Diplomatic Mediations in the Syrian Conflict – A Comparative Analysis"

Ferid Selimi¹

¹ University for Business and Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Review of: The Failure of Diplomatic Mediations in the Syrian Conflict – A Comparative Analysis

Ferid Selimi[1]

1. The author followed a very inspiring approach based on five challenges of mediation as an analytical tool: Mission and Mandate, Impartiality and Inclusivity, Entry and Consent, Strategy, and Leverage.
2. Missing a method section in which the authors should explain how the analytical tool of challenges work. Then, it is missed the clarification of some objectives/research questions in the introduction or the theoretical background.
3. As the manuscript works as theoretical-driven research, the scope of the present work may be expanded by giving details on how the information was collected.
4. The current conclusions section is not enough to understand the implications of the study since there is not a proper discussion. The author should put their findings in relationship to prior scholarship. Then, what are the limitations and recommendations?
5. The list of references is appropriate, but I don't see literature from the last three years.
6. In short, this article deals with a relevant, but it would be helpful to enlarge the conclusions and reinforce the methodological design, although the current text already develops a rich comparative analysis.

[1] The reviewer is a Doctor of Journalism, currently Dean of the Faculty of Media and Communication and UBT, Prishtina. Kosovo.