

## Review of: "Perceptions of Academic Dishonesty: Insights from the University of Tehran"

## Annette Snyman<sup>1</sup>

1 University of South Africa

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is an interesting article with valuable insights provided. The article can be improved by addressing the following comments or questions:

- The abstract should be expanded to include the research design, main findings, and contribution of the study.
- The background and literature review should be reviewed, and all the repetitive paragraphs should be amended. There is extreme repetition.
- In the background section, referral is made to academic dishonesty by both academics and students. However, this study focused on academic dishonesty by students. The background should therefore focus on this.
- The following is lacking in the research method section: Explanation of the research approach; the research
  procedure, including the recruitment of the participants and how the responses were collected; ethical aspects,
  including ethical clearance and protection of participants' privacy and rights; the validity and reliability of the research
  instruments (were they self-designed or existing questionnaires?).
- The authors should consider including the following in the article after the results: A detailed discussion of the results and also in comparison to previous studies; implications for theory and practice, as well as the limitations of the study.
- · Overall, it was an interesting article to read and indeed causes one to worry about the academic world as a whole.

Qeios ID: 9WSXVX · https://doi.org/10.32388/9WSXVX