

# Review of: "Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services for Persons with Substance Use Disorder"

Paula Cristina<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidade Aberta Lisboa

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

This document presents a very complete analysis of the services of the Center for Addiction Medicine. It serves as a model for structuring these services. On a macro level, for those in administrative or political positions, this document provides a guide to the type of services needed and how they should be structured. I am grateful for the opportunity to review this manuscript, which provides interesting information on mental health services and alcohol and drug use; in particular, I found the offer for all ages, including children, to be a great example of good practice.

However, I leave you with a few considerations:

The document is concise, but the content is very confusing and needs a more rigorous objective and structure and lacks detailed sections. The objective is not clearly defined in the opening paragraph. As well as being difficult to read, this paragraph does not orient the reader to the subject of the article. It might be necessary to provide a definition of a drug addiction research center or how it has evolved over time (as is written in the third paragraph). Add an appendix with acronyms to improve the reader's understanding, especially for those who are not familiar with the specific terminology used in this field, and ensure that the language used is clear and accessible to a wide audience.

Also, consider expanding the structure to include key components such as methodology, results, discussion, and an objective introduction that highlights the scope and objectives of the psychosocial rehabilitation services discussed and the importance of and need for psychosocial rehabilitation services for individuals with substance use disorders.

It lacks a section describing the methods used to provide psychosocial rehabilitation services and includes information on the approaches, therapies, and interventions used, the duration and frequency of rehabilitation sessions.

It would be important, if possible, to present the main findings or results of the psychosocial rehabilitation services and discuss how the results align or present to the existing literature on rehabilitation of functionality use disorders and the most presented functional characteristics of the psychosocial rehabilitation services offered. Readers would benefit from a deeper understanding of the disciplines and their impact and increased practical relevance of the article.

Also consider including statistical or qualitative data, if available, to support the effectiveness of rehabilitation programs and increase the number of references to support claims and rationales, as well as providing a more comprehensive overview of the literature. The data provided, for example, in the paragraph "community outreach services," is quite good.

The tables contain too much information, and the tables are too long, and, although the content is useful, it needs to be

more concise. Perhaps it would be better to use one more table, subdivide them, or use another type of illustration that would complement and make it easier to read. Use and consider which contents are essential.

Finally, as other colleagues have already mentioned, I would rethink the order of the paragraphs.

Thank you for allowing me to review this article, which I consider to be an interesting article, with many details and practical examples and, with some adjustments, deserves to be published.