

Review of: "Interactive e-Contents: A Novel Gamification Approach for Students' Satisfaction"

Fadil Novalic¹

¹ International University of Novi Pazar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. At the beginning, I suggest the authors of the paper to give their explanation of how to implement micro-learning in the text below table 1, because the table they downloaded did not describe how micro-learning is implemented. I also suggest them to briefly list the possibilities offered by educational video content in video learning.
2. I believe that the quality of the work would be better if the authors after the paragraph "When talking about gamification in education, we mean something beyond the simple application of video games and educational games for learning purposes. The application of gamification in education implies the application of game components in a way that motivates students to learn, experience, and gain skills [19]. Unfortunately, education in its current situation is a bad example of play, meaning that there is a bad example of gamification, which means that there is a specific type of game components such as privileges and rankings, but so far they have not succeeded making students act [19] [20]." added a short text about theories of motivation for learning, such as: Theory of Expectations and Achievement Values, Theory of Self-Efficacy, Theory of Goal Orientation. It should be emphasized the necessity of creating educational games in accordance with the postulates of motivation theory. This text should contain one or more quotes from research on the role of motivation theory in the gamification of teaching.
3. In the sentence "In the IT Expectation-Confirmation Model, as outlined in Figure 12," you mentioned Figure 12, but it is missing from the paper.
4. Precisely define the research hypotheses that you want to prove.
5. Define acronyms that you use in the paper before mentioning them, e.g. PU, PEOU.
6. I suggest that you add more descriptions about the research results shown in the tables „Descriptive statistics of variables“ and „Statistical data of the hypotheses“. Correct the table numbering as well.
7. Correct the spelling of heading 4. „Discission“ .