

## Review of: "Allergies In India: Myths, Misconceptions, and Awareness"

Khushali Vashi<sup>1</sup>

1 Emory University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This review highlights several areas in which further information and improvements could enhance the overall quality and professionalism of the paper. Firstly, a more comprehensive exploration of the various types of allergies mentioned is warranted, supported by an in-depth literature search. This would not only provide readers with a deeper understanding of the subject matter but also enhance the credibility of the study.

Additionally, the language utilized in the questionnaire should be specified, as it plays a crucial role in ensuring effective communication and accurate data collection. Furthermore, additional details regarding the inclusion/exclusion criteria and study design would contribute to the transparency and replicability of the research.

While the paper acknowledges allergic rhinitis and other respiratory diseases, it is advisable to incorporate the participants' smoking status and other relevant factors that may impact the results. This would provide a more comprehensive assessment of potential confounding variables and strengthen the study's findings.

To enhance the readers' comprehension of the demographic data distribution, the inclusion of Table 1, containing relevant information such as education and socioeconomic status, is recommended. This would facilitate a clearer interpretation of the sample characteristics and contribute to a more comprehensive analysis of the results.

Furthermore, it would be valuable to provide a more detailed exploration of specific myths and misconceptions related to allergies. By doing so, the authors can address common misconceptions and contribute to public knowledge in the field.

Regarding the discussion section, a more structured approach is needed to ensure a coherent flow of ideas. Currently, the lack of consistency in the discussion hinders the effective presentation of the study's implications and findings.

Lastly, the paper should include information regarding the ethical approval obtained for the study. This ensures transparency and demonstrates adherence to ethical guidelines, thereby bolstering the paper's credibility and validity.

By addressing these areas and incorporating the suggested improvements, the paper would significantly enhance its professionalism and provide a more comprehensive and valuable contribution to the field of allergies.

Qeios ID: A3PUHD · https://doi.org/10.32388/A3PUHD