

Review of: "Developing and Supporting High-Performing Faculty Teams in Engineering Institutions"

Esteban Inga¹

¹ Universidad Politécnica Salesiana

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

It is recommended to use Overleaf as a word processor to ensure the document's quality. It is suggested to use a bibliographic manager such as Mendeley. It is recommended to generate metrics developed in Microsoft Power BI or Flourish. It is recommended to create a conceptual graphic of the problem using Adobe Illustrator.

Regarding the document

Performing a bibliometric analysis with Vos Viewer from Web of Science and Scopus data is recommended. In this way, it will be possible to identify countries, authors, and universities related to the subject.

The document needs to be more precise about the problem to be solved. On the contrary, there are multiple problems. In a scientific article, solution proposals should be placed and evidenced with metrics going from qualitative to quantitative.

Did the study take into account the Likert Scale?

The conclusions should be articulated with the research graphs.

On the other hand, it is suggested that the authors order the document.

1.- Introduction (Generalities of the problem - 15 references between 2023-2019), 2.- Related Works (Specific works with punctual solutions, summary table of state of the art of other proposals against the current work - 5 references between 2023-2019), 3.- Problem Formulation and Methodology (methodology flowchart), 4. - Analysis of Results (Metrics performed in Matlab in PDF format or directly from Overleaf), 5.- conclusions (Direct relation between the objective stated in the abstract vs. the metrics found), 6.-References (All documents with DOI from ScienceDirect, MDPI, Wiley, PLOS, SAGE, Taylor & Francis, Springer, Hindawi, IEEE Xplore [transactions, magazines, journals]).