

Review of: "Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services for Persons with Substance Use Disorder"

Daniel W. Kinyanjui¹

¹ Moi University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services for Persons with Substance Use Disorder

This is an intriguing report on substance use disorders related rehabilitative services at the Center for Addiction Medicine, Bangalore, India. It reads like a quasi- situational analysis, though focuses more on the achievements and progress made over the years since its inception; including the positive and comprehensive service provision with the associated collaborative efforts that have so far been established. Thank you for inviting me to review and the following are my suggestions on improving the manuscript.

1. From the onset, the use of acronyms/ abbreviations without a proper initial explanation or illustration when they are used for the very first time in the text needs correction e.g., NIMHANS, SUD, BBMP, IEC, CAM OPD, NFHS-5, and DM.
2. The manuscript would greatly benefit from a structure and the following is my suggestion;

Abstract

Introduction- Background

- Evolution of the centre
- Multidisciplinary approach
- Psychosocial rehabilitation services- Out- patient
 - In- patient

Available services- Psychiatric social work

- Tobacco Cessation
- Opioid substitution therapy
- Emergency

Special/ Unique group services- Dual diagnosis

- Women
- Youth
- Children and Adolescents

Other services - Tobacco Quitline

- Yoga as adjunct therapy
- Occupational therapy
- After-care
- Toxicology Laboratory

Policy, planning, and networking

Organizational work culture

Conclusion

3. Please note that some sections would benefit from being moved; the information provided from “Training” section, all the way to the “Working with international agencies” section may be used to improve the “Introduction” section.
4. The table titles should come before/above the tables and not as footnotes to the tables. Additionally, the tables should be mentioned and referred to in the text to enhance their significance.
5. Earlier reviewers have covered most of the other areas that would improve the manuscript; there’s no need for repetition.